~~Previous references.~~
639-09
~~44-06~~
420-08
594-10
155-03

Later references.
425-09
-32-1910

1906.
(Allahabad)

File No. 161-06
Serial Nos. 1-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-4

Part B. for July 1909

SUBJECT.

Survey of agricultural lands
[illegible] Department of
[illegible] Secretariat

E. R. & O. 56

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 161/06

SUBJECT.

Re The submission of accounts relating to the Muzrai Institutions in the Mysore District.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Letter No. 100, Dated 24th August 1907.

The balances at the credit of the several Muzrai Institutions, in state up to the end of 1899-1900 which were worked up by the Comptroller as communicated to this Office were accepted by Govt. in their Pro: No. 2892-90 Muzrt 5-96 dated 19th March 1904; and in para of the said Proceedings, the was requested to prepare similar statements up to the 30th June 1904 submit them to Govt. together information as to the adj

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

be made on account of the transactions
the 4 years from 1900-01 to 1903-04.

With reference to the above order,
late Offg. Comptroller Mr. Eagles stated
in his letter No. 6457/1507, dated the 16th May
1904, that the balances at the credit of Slip B
the Palace Muzrai Institutions had to be
out from the beginning, as those
Institutions had not been included in the
former examination, and that in order
complete this work as well as the verifi-
tion of the balances of the distinct
Institutions for the remaining
, one Senia Accountant of his Office
d to go round to all the Districts and
uks and also to the Palace Controller's
, if necessary details for all credits
debits during the years were not
ked by the local Officers concerned.
recommended therefore an allowance
10/- per mensem to be given from
funds to one of the Senia Accountants
Office who had to work extra hours
the work of the verification was completed.

GPB—2,000—13-2-05

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

But before orders were passed on this communication, the late Comptroller Mr Baum brought to notice that the verification of balances for 5 years could not be made by the 2 hands sanctioned for Muzrai work in his Office and that a separate hand for the purpose was necessary with the approval of the late Dewan and the late 2nd Councillor, sanction was accorded in March 1906 to the entertainment of a separate hand on Rs 25/- per mensem, temporarily for a period of 6 months, the charge being met from the funds of the Sri Kalaseswara and the Sri Chamarajeswara temples at Kalasa and Chamarajnagar respectively.

*: in his letter No 740/32, dated 7th Aug 1905. Slip C

Slip D

8. In February 1905, the Comptroller issued a Circular to all the Treasuries prescribing the maintenance of certain

Muzrai Registers and Accounts in them with reference to this Circular, the late Treasury Officer of the Mysore District (Mr. C Krishna Rao) pointed out to the Deputy Commissioner Mr. Sparkes that two extra hands for the Treasury were very necessary to enable him to carry out the Comptroller's instructions. The Deputy Commissioner Mr. Sparkes thereupon recommended to Govt. that either the Comptroller might be moved to modify his order or two extra hands on Rs 10/- each per mensem be sanctioned for the Muzrai Account work in the District Treasury. Slip J

in his letter No 494, dated 22nd March 1906 Slip K

4. The Comptroller, having brought to the notice of Govt. that in spite of several reminders from his Office to the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District the credit Statements of Muzrai Institutions of that District of the Palace for the years 1904-05 and 1905-06

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

had not been received from the District Treasury and that for want of those statements, the balances could not be verified up to the end of June 1906, the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District was requested to give his personal attention to the matter and see that the accounts were sent to the Comptroller's Office at once.

Slip L

5 In reply to the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation referred to in para 3 above and marked with Slip ~~K~~ J, it was observed in this Office No. 4050, dated 21st February 1906, that the entertainment of 2 extra hands recommended for the Treasury Department, was for the purpose of keeping extra accounts and registers prescribed by the Comptroller and not for furnishing the credit statements as usual and the Deputy Commissioner was requested to issue stringent

orders for the preparation and submission of the credit statements up to date to the Comptroller

6. As regards the question of entertaining additional hands for the Mysore District Treasury, it was stated that it involved the consideration as to whether such hands might not be required in the other Districts also, and whether the Muzrai or the Financial Department of Govt had to bear the cost thereof and the Deputy Commissioner was requested to personally look into the question and make a further reference if there was the necessity for the additional hands.

7. The Treasury Officer and the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and the Palace Officer, Mysore, were all written to demi-officially to expedite the submission of the statements required to enable the Comptroller to compile the statement of Institutionwise balances at the credit of the several Muzrai Institutions

in the State. In reply, the Deputy Commissioner states in his D.O. of the 3rd August last, that the District and the Palace Muzrai Audit Statements up to and inclusive of April 1907, were submitted to the Comptroller on the 2nd August 1907. The Comptroller has thereupon been asked to expedite the submission of the Mahantsmuwar balances, for the speedy adjustment of the several items of expenditure already incurred and the taking up of the execution of the important works of improvement without further delay.

In reply to the queries put in this Office No. 4050, dated 21st Feb. 1906, marked with Slip ?, the Deputy Commissioner forwards copy of the Treasury Officer's correspondence in the matter, and states that the transactions in the District and

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

Taluk Treasuries being already very heavy and varied, there is no use of saddling the present staff with the additional work of preparing the Statements connected with the Muzrai Deptt (as suggested by the Comptroller) and that, unless the Treasury staff is strengthened, one or the other work will suffer. He further states that his predecessor Mr. Shankes had recommended the entertainment of 2 additional hands for the Treasury, and that he is personally of opinion that that recommendation was not pitched too high, but that for the present, he would recommend the entertainment of at least one qualified hand on Rs 25/- per mensem, on trial, for a period of one or two years, and that if the work is still found too heavy, he would submit further proposals in the light of the experience gained.

8. In this connection, the Deputy Commissioner

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

brings to notice that for the Registration department, where the work is comparatively very light, the Govt. have fixed the pay of the Head Clerk and the Deputy Clerk at Rs 40/- and Rs 30/- respectively, that there are 7 officials for the Local Fund department on pay varying from Rs 40/- to Rs 10/-, while that for the Muzrai department, where the work cannot by any means be said to be less important than that of the Local Fund Deptt, the Clerical staff consists only of a Head Clerk on Rs 30/- and of 2 others on Rs 25 and Rs 15/- and that this staff is quite inadequate to get through the Muzrai work which has been steadily increasing year by year. In these circs., and considering that the excellent work that is being done by the present Head Clerk of the Muzrai Deptt, he strongly recommends that his pay may be increased to Rs 35—1—40

GPB—3,000—22-6 06

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

and the present inadequate Muzrai Establishment strengthened by the entertainment of 2 more hands on Rs 20/- and Rs 15/- in addition to the hand on Rs 25/- recommended for the Treasury, meeting the additional cost thus involved from the savings at the credit of the Sri Chamarajeswara swami temple at Chamarajnagar.

The Deputy Commissioner's letter explains ~~in full~~ the requirements in full.

Submitted.

4.9.07

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks:-

The present clerical establishment in the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's Office for doing Muzrai work is as follows:-

1. Head Clerk 30/-
1. Clerk 25/-
1. Clerk 15/-

~~Rs~~ 70 - per mensem

The whole of this amount is paid from the funds of the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajanagar.

Owing to heavy Muzrai work in his office, the Deputy Commissioner recommends the increase of the Head Clerk's pay to Rs 35-1-40 and the entertainment of two additional clerks on Rs 20 + 15. In view of the heavy account work in the District Treasury in connection with Muzrai matters and the periodical submission of the large number of Statements recently prescribed by the Comptroller the Deputy Commissioner asks for a hand for Muzrai work in the District Treasury at Rs 25/-

GPB—3,000—22-6-06

12

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

per mensem.

It is proposed that the whole of the additional cost involved should be met from the funds of the Chamarajanagar temple.

There is no doubt whatever that the Muzrai work of the Mysore District is very heavy and that some help to the Establishment is very necessary. I would therefore very respectfully recommend that the increase proposed to the Head Clerk may be sanctioned as also the entertainment of one additional clerk on Rs 20/- instead of two clerks as suggested by the Deputy Commissioner, the cost

Page ( )

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

being debited to Chamarajanagar temple funds. The increased cost which comes to about Rs 300/- per annum can well be spared from the Chamarajanagar temple and I think that in the interests of efficiency, the above proposal may be sanctioned.

As regards the additional hand required to do Muzrai work in the Treasury the Deputy Commissioner may be asked to send up his proposals through the Comptroller who will be able to say whether it is not possible for the present Treasury staff to do the Muzrai work also, and whether an additional hand is absolutely

GPB —3,000—22-6-06

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

necessary.

21

Submitted to the Dewan thro' the 2nd Councillor

Bhaktavatsalam Rao

16th Novr 1907

386/20.11.07

1) Please put up the correspondence regarding the utilization of the funds of Kalasa & Chamarajnagar Temples for the maintenance of Clerical establishments in Taluk & DC offices & Comptroller's & Muzrai Secretary's Offices -

2) What are the balances at the credit of these temples?

20/11/07

Received 21/11/07

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

With reference to the 2nd Councillor's orders, the following statement is submitted showing the utilization of the funds of the Kalasa and Chamarajnagar temples for the maintenance of the Clerical Estts in the Taluk, District & Comptroller & Muzrai Secretariat Offices:—

Mysore Dt. Office.
1. Head Munshi — 30
1. Deputy Munshi — 25
1. Record Keeper — 15
1. Peon — 6
76

Chamarajnagar Taluk Office
1. Head Gumasta — 15
1. Gumasta — 8
Contingency — 1
24

} Rs 100 per mensem debitable solely to the Chamarajnagar temple funds - vide Taluk Patti of the temple — (slip Y)

Comptroller's Office
1. Accountant — 45
1. do — 25
70.

Muzrai Secretariat
1. Accountant — 30
1. do — 25
1. Agamik — 20
1. Agama Pariksakar — 20
1 Muzrai overseer — 20
115

} Rs 185 per mensem debitable to Kalasa & Chamarajnagar temple funds in equal proportions (vide Govt. Rs. No. 2892-901 dy 19th March 1904. (slip Z)

Z

The amount at the credit of the Chamarajanagar temple on 1st Jne 1907 was

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

Rs 47,479/- out of which Rs 15000 were recently invested in the Mysore Govt. 4% loan. Including the previous investment of Rs 7098/- in the Govt of India Pro: Notes, the temple had ~~xx~~ Rs 22098/- in Securities and Rs 32479/- in Cash in the Treasury.

The amount at the credit of the Kalasa temple on the same date was Rs 1,14,957/- in Cash in the Treasury, besides a sum of Rs 1,01,094/- in Govt of India Pro: Notes.

Noted
HC

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks:-

The land Revenue Demand from the inam lands endowed to the Sri Shamarajeswaraswami temple is Rs 20129 per annum. expenditure prescribed according/

to the revised Jasdik Patti of the Institution is Rs 12,254, leaving a saving of Rs 7895/- per annum. Rai Bahadoor Mr. A Sreenivasa Charlu ordered the continuance of the cost of the Establishment in the Mysore District Office being debited to the Khamaraja-nagar temple, but remarked that it was perhaps not desirable to charge the whole cost to the Khamarajangar temple alone but that the arrangement might continue until it was found possible to distribute the cost among other temples also. The extra amount now Charged to that temple amounts to Rs 192/- per mensem or Rs 2204/- per annum. What is now

proposed is to add a further sum of Rs 300/- per annum to the cost making a total of Rs 2504/- per annum. I would again strongly recommend sanction being given to this small additional cost as the Muzrai work in the Mysore District office is really very heavy and requires additional help in the manner proposed.

The efficiency of the Muzrai work in various parts of the State necessarily requires additional funds in these days and we cannot but draw from the savings of the richer temples for the

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

the purpose

250

Submitted to the 2nd Councillor

T Ananta Saunka

12th Decr 1907

408/14.12.07

While I admit that the Muzrai establishment in the Mysore District Office requires to be strengthened I regret I cannot agree to the proposal that the cost of the additional staff should come out of the Chamaraj nagar Temple funds. This Temple already pays Rs 2204 per annum for establishments maintained for general Muzrai work in the Offices of

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Serial No.

the Mysore Secretary, Comptroller to Govt, Deputy Commissioner Mysore Distt and the Huluk Cutcherry of Chamarajnagar. The Kalasa Temple pays Rs 1110 per annum for a similar purpose. It is proposed to add another 300 Rupees to the burden cast on the Chamarajnagar Temple. I consider that the exploitation of the surplus of rich temples for the maintenance of Mysore establishts in Government offices is most objectionable and implies a misappropriation of funds Little did the Royal donors think that the income of the lands would be put to such a use.

I consider the additional

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

22

Serial No.

establish for the Mysore Dist necessary and would recommend provision being made in the next year's budget therefor.

W H Nicholson
20 June 07

16/12/07

Received 16/12/07

Muzrai Secretary's Remarks:-

I respectfully beg to point out a slight difficulty in carrying out the direction given above. The sum of Rs. 300 per annum now asked for consists of an increase of pay of Rs. 5 per mensem to the Head Clerk and the full pay of Rs. 20/- to the additional hand proposed. While the major portion of the Head Clerk's pay viz .s. 30/- and the pay of the other members of the Muzrai Establishment of the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's Office /(Rs. 346 per mensem) are all being paid from the Chamarajnagar temple Funds, it may be inconvenient to sanction a portion of the pay

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

viz Rs 25/- per mensem from the State Funds.

If it pleases Government to sanction -- from State Funds the whole of the pay of the Muzrai Establishment of the Mysore ~~District~~ Deputy Commissioner's Office including the addition now proposed viz Rs 101/- per ~~annum~~ mensem or Rs. 1212/- per annum, it will undoubtedly be a great relief to the temple. It is doubtful whether Government will sanction such a heavy charge from State Funds. Orders are solicited whether reference may be made to the Financial --- Secretary about the increased charge on the State -- Funds proposed above, viz Rs. 1212/- per annum.

31/

Submitted to the 2nd Councillor.

5th February 1908.

451
5.2.08.

I shall be glad if the Chamarajnagar & Kalasa Temple funds are relieved of this objectionable altogether Charge But it is a matter.

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

of Finance. All I contend is that no more burden of this kind be cast on the Temple funds.
Papers may be submitted to the Dewan

W.H. 5/2/08

7915 5/2/08

Subd to the Dewan W.H. 5/2/08

Ingoe 4/5/1908 5/4/08

Recd 7/4/08

Subd to the 2d Councillor for perusal of the Dewan's Order.

Orders are solicited as to what reply should be given to the Deputy Comr in regard to the proposed increase to his Office Muzrai Establishment. Shall I say that as it is undesirable to place any more burden on the Sri Chamarajeswara temple at

No WO 223

27

Page ( )

Muz 8a]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

at Chamrajnagar, Govt regret that it is not possible to find funds for the proposed increase to the Dy Comrs Muzrai Establishment?

The Dy Comrs recommendation however, is a very strong one.

409
7.4.08
Subd to the 2nd Ct

Chamtalamani Rao
7/4/08

This may be done. If the State funds admit of it, the proposed increase may be sanctioned. Please consult the Financial branch

RPN
8/4/08

No 4363 Ea 5.

Forwarded to the Financial Secretary for favour of opinion.

8/4/08

By Order
Chamtalamani
Murzai

Subd
11.4.08

Dy Secy
11/4

W.C 7.4.08

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

Please put up the file in which the asst Secretary has raised the question, whether it is not desirable that grants to muzrai ~~institutions~~ institutions should be regulated by some fixed principle.

MK 14/4

Submitted.

This is raised in the muzrai file relative to the grant of a Jadike to the Kothandarama swami temple at Lakkoor, which is now before the muzrai Secretariat.

K.S. 14/4/08.

Please obtain that file

MYSORE GOVERNMENT 4182 15.4.08 MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

15.4.08

2.0

To the muzrai Secretariat for compliance.

No 76223 15-4-08

K.S. 15/4/08 Regr.

Rec'd & submitted to me 15/4/08

Re grant of cash Jasdik to the Sri Kodiveda Ramaswamy temple at Lakkur, Malur Taluk.

Assistant Secretary's Remarks:-

A cash endowment of Rs 3,020 is proposed and at the Saving Bank rate of interest, the annual income from the investment of this amount would be only about Rs 45. To what extent this will be supplemented by the income from the landed property proposed to be given to the temple is not ascertainable as accurate information on the point is not available in the file. It is also not clear what is the minimum monthly expenditure for the absolutely necessary services to be conducted in the temple and unless information on these two points is ascertained, it does not seem possible to fix the amount of grant which may be given by Govt. It will be seen from the records that the temple once enjoyed a grant

of only two pagodas from the Palace and Lakshmanasamy has asked for a monthly cadre of Rs 12/8 while the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner and the Museum Dept is for Rs 15 per mensem

As regards the principle involved, it is not known if it is the recognised policy of Govt to sanction perpetual grants to minor local temples like the one under consideration and if there are orders regulating such grants and specifying in what circumstances and under what conditions such grants would be allowed.

Intd in M K.
= 11.2.8

Financial Secretary Remarks:—

The granting of perpetual grants is a matter that should be carefully considered and there must be some clear principles laid down for guidance. A certain fixed sum should be assigned in the Mysore Budget and this limit should not be exceeded, grants being made only in the most deserving cases.

I would suggest the desirability of making grants for a term of years, say 5, the devotee being given to understand that within that time they should provide other sources of income to the temple. In the present case I fear the devotees have put about promising the temple with all sorts of luxuries such as extensive buildings, ornaments, jewels before arranging for the permanent conduct of worship. The endowment promised will be in cash and can be easily a sufficient income to provide for the minimum requirements of daily worship and I would suggest instead of a tankdek in perpetuity a grant not exceeding 12½ Rs for a period of 3 or 5 years.

Int D C.S.B
14.2.08

Copy

T. Ananthakrishna Rao

Secretary, Muzrai Dept

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

No 4653 dtd.

Dy. No.

X T

Re the Kalale Ramaswamy temple file in question is under submission. Copies of the remarks of the Assistant Secretary and of the Financial Secretary on that file are forwarded herewith.

Thanda Lamu Rao

18/6/08.

Dep. Secy.

Submitted.

K.S.

27/6/08.

27/6 In general principles it is objectionable to make additions to the Muzrai Budgets. It is not known if the proposed increase in the cost of the Muzrai staff attached to the Mysore District Office could not be met by reappropriation of funds in the Muzrai Budget.

Secy) 27.6.08

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

G. R. S. 12]
eneral and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

Muzrai funds, especially those of individual institutions, are of the nature of trust funds which Govt is bound to administer to the best advantage of the institutions concerned. Such admn no doubt justifies the payment of reasonable charges for supervision, etc but it seems to me to be quite improper that only one or two institutions should be asked to bear the whole charge of establishment maintained for the general benefit of all institutions. The establishment now required in the Mysore Office is intended for the benefit of all the muzrai institutions in the Dt — and there are several of them — and it is unreasonable to charge the entire cost to the Chamarajnagar temple alone solely on the ground that it is able to meet the charge. For similar reasons I would consider that the Chamarajnagar & Kalasa temples have been treated rather unfairly by the directions contained in

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—25-2-07

Serial No.

17 & 18 of the Govt order of 19 March 1904, debiting to them the cost of certain estts maintained in the Muz-Sectt & the account offices for general purposes.

While no doubt the cost of any estt maintained specially for the purposes of any particular instn or institutions should be wholly paid by that instn or those instns, the cost of estts maintained for general purposes should be borne by the state & charged to all instns. ~~As doubt~~ ~~the State~~ The supervision & control of muzrai institutions being recognized as one of the legitimate functions of the State reasonable expenditure incurred in the discharge of that duty as a proper charge on general revenues. This has been recognized by the general revenues bearing ~~most~~ the greater part of the cost of the muzrai dept charges as for instance that of the muz sectt which costs about 20000 Rs per annum besides the cost of certain clerks in ~~two~~ all Dts

It seems to me only equitable that muzrai institutions under Govt management should all contribute

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—25-2-07

Serial No.

the Cost of Supervision &c & for this purpose I would suggest that a small percentage, say 3 or 5 percent, ~~should be~~ of the revenue of all major Muzrai institutions ie those whose annual income is 250 Rs & above, should be levied as a contribution for the cost of establishments maintained in Taluks, Dts & at the Head Quarters for dealing with Muzrai institutions. If any estt is maintained specially for the purpose of some one or more insts, the full cost of such estt should be debited to those insts.

as well as of mutts under Govt management - Chatrams

I do not think it necessary to levy contributions from institutions whose annual income is less than 250 Rs per annum. The cost incurred ~~over~~ over & above this contribution will be borne by the General Revenue.

The Comptroller who keeps personal ledgers of all major Muzrai institutions will be able to say what the contribution above suggested will amount to.

If the suggestion is approved it may be brought into effect from

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-47

Serial No.

+ the estt. necessary in the manner
so- also sanctioned.

C&B
3/5/08

No 2223
dt 6-5-08

forwarded w/s to Comptr. for ~~order~~ his opinion as well as for information being furnished as to what the contribution as suggested in the above note may amount to

C&B
3/5/08

Note No. 33 dt 27 V 08

A list of the Muzrai Institutions with an income of over Rs. 250/- per annum is herein enclosed. The average income is calculated on the receipts of 4 or 5 years, and 3 per cent and 5 per cent on it is entered in the subsequent columns.

The Muzrai Institutions consist of Temples Matts, Chaitrams and Mahomedan Institutions. The Matts consist of a few that are ~~only~~ under the temporary management of the Government, and the rest are managed by private bodies, to whom a monthly Tasdik grant is paid by the Government in accordance with the Recog Money Inam Register.

GPH—80 000—17-3-07

Serial No.

service done to them by Government servants except the payment of these grants from the Treasury. These may have to be exempted from the liability to contribute towards the maintenance charges of the establishment. The other Mutts get only a nominal grant.

Then as regards temples, some of them with an aggregate income of about Rs. 40,000/- per annum are under the management of the Officer in charge of the Palace Establishments. If any recovery is made on account of Head Quarter Establishment charges, it must be on account of the establishment in the Palace Officer's office. The Sanscrit - college at Mysore with an annual tasdik of Rs.16000/- and the Mysore Chattram with a grant of Rs.19,000/- are also under the same Officer's management, and the recovery from them cannot be utilised for maintenance of Establishment elsewhere than in the Palace Office.

The income of all the other temples put together amount to about a lakh and a half, which at 5 per cent gives Rs. 7500/- or at 3 per cent Rs4500/-

There are other practical difficulties in recovering the percentage from this large number of temples owing to the uncertainty of the land revenue due to some and Jatra collections to others

The best way to get out of the difficulty - appears.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—18-5-68

Serial No.

appears to be to reduce the annual fixed Government grant for construction and repairs to Muzrai Institutions from Rs. 15,000/- by the amount that would be required for the Establishment charges in the Head Quarter Offices which at present are as follows:-

Muzrai Secretariat	Rs. 115
Comptroller's Office	" 70
Mysore District Office	76.
	Rs.261x12 or 3132 per annum.

The proposal of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, involves an additional establishment at a cost of Rs. 300/- per annum. A lumpsum deduction of - Rs. 4,000/- from the Muzrai Budget Estimate for construction and repairs will be quite enough to meet all this expenditure. It may be stated that the grant for construction of Muzrai temples &c., was only Rs. 5,000/- till some years ago, when it was raised to Rs. 15,000/-.

T. Instuperi Gowda
26.4.08.

The above note by the Asst. Comptr gives the information required. It is certainly unfair to charge one or two institutions with general supervision charges. On the other hand the levy of a general contribution from (or all major institutions) all institutions for such purposes is undesirable on general grounds.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

Serial No.

and is very likely to prove inconvenient in practice. The best course to adopt is for Govt. to bear all general supervision and administration charges — general as opposed to charges incurred for any particular institution which should of course be charged to that institution. In fact Govt. does bear the bulk of such charges and it is difficult to make out why the cost of a few clerks here & there is sought to be recovered. The source from which this extra charge on the General revenues can be met has been pointed out by the Asstt. Comptr & his suggestion seems a good one —

27.11.08

To

No 2C223
29-12-08 Subs.
mk 30/11

To The Muzrai Branch
Comptr
9/12-12

Note by the Muzrai Superintendent & Under Secretary

The proposal of the Comptroller is to reduce the annual Muzrai State grant of Rs 15000/ by Rs 4000/- and use the latter sum for bearing all the present and proposed establishment charges of the Muzrai Branch, Comptroller's Office, Mysore District Office, and Chamarajanagar Taluk Office (now charged to the Chamarajanagar and Kalasa temple funds). There is, however, no occasion for this heroic remedy as all that is now wanted is

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—13-5-08

Serial No.

only a sum of Rs 500 a year and the Dewan and the Councillor," the saying that no additional burden should be imposed on the temple funds, do not say that they should be relieved of the charges already fixed. The Comptroller's proposal, I admit, is one way of getting over the difficulty; but I may be permitted to say something on the general question of the disposal of the funds of the Mysore Institutions viz., like the Kalasa and Chamaraja nagar temples, and large temples.

2. Though I would be the last man to deal with them in an irresponsible way I do not see the utility of a policy by which the Kalasa temple and half a lakh of the Chamarajanagar temple should lie locked up without any chance of these moniesbeing ever required, in all human probability, for these temples. I do not go the length of saying that the corpus of these funds should be appropriated for other purposes; but there can be no objection for using the interest on these funds. I may also be permitted to bring to notice, by the way, that by having a cash balance of about Rs. one lakh in the Govt. treasury the Kalasa temple gets no income at all while the Govt. gets interest from the Madras Bank.

3. If this view should be accepted it will then become necessary to define the purposes for which the interest on the invested temple funds may be used. In my humble opinion it may be used for the purpose for which it is now being used (establishment charges for efficient supervison and management), for sanctioning tasdiks in favour of

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5 08

Serial No.

new temples and for other useful purposes. It has to be noted that, in some cases temples, once of great repute, in course of time lose their importance; and it might be said that as times change a re-distribution of the original grant may fairly be effected. It would be a failure of duty if the spiritual wants of a new place are not recognised.

The expenses for efficient supervison and management, however useful, cannot be said to be directly charitable or religious; and so I am willing to accept the Comptroller's recommendation and to forego a portion of the State Grant provided an equal amount from the interest of temple funds is made available for the repairs of all temples. Afterall, this is a matter of form; and if the principles respectfully represented in the foregoing paragraphs are accepted it will be more convenient to continue the established system than make alterations merely for the sake of form. If, however, it is apprehended that, in course of time, the principles upon which the temple funds are to be operated upon are likely to be forgotten and than violated I will not object to the change of system either.

5. Coming now to the present question it would be useful to recapitulate the facts. After the issue of the important order of March 1904 in the matter of Muzrai Accounts the Comptroller issued certain instructions to District Treasuries and the Deputy Commissioner of the Mysore District complained that the extra work could not be done without additional hands. He wanted two hands on Rs 10/-

Note.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5 06

Serial No.

[illegible] The present Deputy Commissioner wants one Head Clerk for the Treasury on Rs 25/-, and has availed himself of this opportunity to ask for a strengthening of his Office establishment. He wants two extra Clerks on Rs 20 & 15 and recommends that the Head Clerk's pay should be raised from Rs 30 to 35-1-40

3. All the Mysore District is heavily and the establishment requires to be strengthened. The good work turned out by the Head Clerk is also admitted. Mr. Anantasami Rao approves of the Head Clerk's promotion and recommends the addition of one Clerk on Rs.20/- As regards the District Treasury he says that the question may be taken up if the recommendation is made thro' the Comptroller or if the Comptroller says that an extra Clerk is necessary. In recognising the good work done by the Head Clerk it is necessary to consider whether the pay of the ~~Office~~ office itself should be raised or whether the individual only should be given promotion. The Deputy Commissioner seems to be of opinion that it is necessary to raise the status and therefore the pay of the office. ~~Office~~. This view has my support.

7. The Deputy Commissioner has sent a reminder and says that since the issue of the order of September 1908 reorganising the Muzrai Department more work is thrown on his Office and that as regards Muzrai work there can be no comparison between the Mysore District and other Districts.

8. I shall sum up by saying that Mr. Anantasami Rao's recommendation may be sanctioned and that the extra cost may be debited to the Chamarajanagar

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5.06

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

43

File No. of 190

Serial No.

[illegible] necessary of the [illegible] Branch [illegible]

Ambabhavanaga
31.1.1909

Please note below the present balances of Kalasa and Chamarajnagar Temples and how much is invested and held in deposit in the Govt Treasury.

Office Note sheet
9. 9.2.1909

8/2/09

Subject

1. The Sri Kalaseswaraswami temple at Kalasa has Rs 1,79,670-8-11 of which
Rs 1,01,189-4-9 are in Govt of India Securities
11,000-- in the Mysore 4% Loans
67,481-4-2 in the Govt Treasury

2. The Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple has Rs 90,498-5-2 of which Rs 15000/ are in Govt of India Securities & Rs 75498-5-2 are in the Treasury.

8/2/09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000 -18-5 06

Serial
No.

Submitted to Legislative Committee

Ambhumanga

Col/2/1809

The Municipal Council should think out a scheme for the proper utilization of the surplus funds of the Chamundeswara and Kalasa Temples. The affairs of the Kalasa temple should be placed on a good basis. Local opinion might be consulted as to the best mode of utilizing the surplus.

In the meantime the temptation to look upon these surplus funds as a reserve to draw upon for all increases to municipal establishment in the Deputy Commissioner's Taluk Offices

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13 5 06

(R. S. 12)
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

Offices and the Members
Branch of the Secretariat
should be Secretarial
Assistant.

With the above remarks,
I would recommend
that the proposal made
by the Member in charge be
provisionally accepted.

Submitted.

2416
24-3

Non-Commissioned

R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

The Chamarajendra Temple Fund — Relieve this latter Fund of Rs 1110 & transfer the Charge to the Kalasa Temple Fund — (The details of this Sum of Rs 1110 are $\frac{70 \times 115}{2} \times 12$ = 1110) Attd 15 June 09

Mercy. U.O. No. 18 dt 9/6/09

forwarded unofficially to the Comptroller W.Govt for opinion whether an extra hand for Mysore work in the Mysore District Treasury is necessary.

By order

Secretary

9th June 1909

Note 75 Dt 12/7/09

The increase of one clerk to the Treasury on Rs 25/- a month may be given temporarily for six months. Within that time I hope to be able to submit some proposal for the reduction of work of Dist Treasuries & the hands thus set free in Mysore may be used for Mysore other work

12/7/09

Put up draft

ags 13/11/09

SA. Dbt

g. Doing away with Valuk savings Bank ledgers in the Dist Treasuries also

Note—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

Dept in accordance with the Instructions
Vide submitted to Dewan Bahadur and
Comptroller.

Abdulkarim

17/7/1909

Draft passed by 2nd Clk
Clk 21/7/09

Submitted to Dewan the
Comptroller says, that a temporary
monthly temporary is sanctioned for the
Treasury Dept. A draft in which is
submitted

Abdulkarim

1917
20.7.09

22/7/1909

Issue
orders
29.7.1909

Rd. B.

4 Fo. The Dy Comptr, Chrooke Dt.,
" Kadur Dt.,
Comptroller to Govt
C No. 2868 D/29.7.1909.
Sanctions, with effect from
1st Aug 1909 an increase of pay of the
Head Clerk as also the entertainment
of two Peons on Rs 25/- & Rs 20/- Per
Mensem, the former to work in the
Dt Treasury temporarily for a period
of six months and the latter two

Note.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5 08

Statement of Mutts and institutions having an income of over Rs 250/- from all sources, such as, Govt. Grant, Land income interest on investments, Jatra collections etc, and Contribution calculated at 3 and 5 per cent

Institutions	Average income per annum Rs.	@ 3%	@ 5%	Remarks.
Bangalore Dist. and Hd quarters.				
Sirupathi Chatram.	13,500	405	675	
Benaresa do —	5,300	159	265	
Bangalore do -	800	24	40	✓
Arunachaleswara at Tiruvannamalai	400	12	20	
Kille Venkatramana Swami	300	9	15	
Ghati Subramanya Swami	4,000	120	200	? ✓
Magadi Ranganatha Swami	1100	33	55	✓
Siragunja Dist. (Temporary)	8,000	240	400	✓
Gangadhareswara in do —	3000	90	150	✓ —
Hosakote Chatram	800	24	40	✓
Bannerughatta Chennapakadhara	300	9	15	
Chennapatna Chatram	800	24	40	
Closepet — do —	800	24	40	
Bidadi — do —	1000	30	50	✓
Malur Appraneya Swami	400	12	20	
Kille Varadaraja Swami @ Chennapatna	700	21	35	
Akilsha Khadri Dr. Chatram	4500	135	225	✓
Total	45700	1371	2285	

Kolar District.

Kolar Chatram.	800	24	40
Nawab Fatte Alli Khan Darga.	3000	90	150
Malur Chatram	900	27	45
Chick Tirupatti Venkataramanaswami	500	15	25
Konapatti Chattram.	500	15	25
Vidurastwatha at Dodda Kurgodu	500	15	25
Gudipatti Venkataramanaswami	900	27	45
Chickaballapur Chatram	600	18	30
Bhoganandeswaraswami	800	24	40
Bowringpet Chattram	600	18	30
Parakalamutt in North Arcot.	1,300	39	65.
Bowringpet Kodandaramaswami	300	9	15
Mulabagal Chattram	900	27	45
- do - Anjaneya	1500	45	75
do - Darga.	1000	30	50
Total.	14,100	423	70

Tumkur District.

Tumkur Chattram	500	15	25
Devarayadurga Narsimhaswami	900	27	4
Gubbi Chattram.	5	15	5
Siddalingeswaraswami, Kunigal Tq.	300	9	15
Sibi Chattram	500	15	5
Sira Chattram -	500	1	5
Turuvekere Byataraya Swami	300	9	15.
Total	3500	105	175

Mysore District

Mysore Sanscrit College.	16,000	480	800	✓
Mysore Chattram	19,000	570	950	✓
Devanath Channadala	800	24	40	
Singeri Mutt.	6,000	180	300	✓
Panchagavi Mutt	600	~~18~~ 18	30	
Japadakatte Mutt.	300	9	15	
Roman Catholic Church.	600	18	30	
Subbaraya Dass' Charities	600	18	30	
Prasanna Krishnaswami	14,200	426	710	✓
Varahaswami	4300	129	215	✓
Lakshmi Narayanaswami	2000	60	100	✓
Thirneswara Swami	2000	60	100	✓
Chamundeswari on the Hill	12,000	360	600	✓
Chandramouleswara	1.000	30	50	✓
Manen Muneswara	700	21	35	
Elwal Chatram	1000	30	50	
Vruna Chattram.	1000	30	50	
Parakalaswami Mutt.	10.400	312	520	✓
Prasanna Nanjundeswara	500	15	25	
Kille Venkataramana Swami	300	9	15	
Kowlandi chattram	700	21	35	
Venugopala & Prasanna Krishnaswami	500	15	25	
Nagamangala Chattram.	800	24	40	
Marehalli Lakshmi Narasimha Swami	300	9	15	
Mandya chattram	1000	30	50	
Madduri chattram.	800	24	40	
do Janardhana Swami	500	15	25	
do Narasimhaswami	600	18	30	
Srirangapatam Chattram & Parakal Mutt	3500	105	175	✓
	17000	510	850	✓

" Swami	8220	240	400 ✓
Gangadhareswara Swami	2000	60	100 ✓
Jotirmaheswara	300	9	15
Lakshmi Narasimha.	1,700	51	85 ✓
Karighatta Venkataramana	800	24	40
Dhondumr Narayan Swami	600	18	30
-do- Gopalakrishna.	600	18	30.
Melkote Narayana Swami	20,000	600	1000 ✓
Yoga Narasimha Swami	1800	54	90
Tirumakudi Adhunareswara	300	9	15
Nowl Krishna ~~do~~ 's Charities	1500	45	75 Pandavapura
Memorial Chattram @ S. palam	1500	45	75
Krishnaraj pet Chattram.	800	24	40
Chinnakurli Chattram.	800	24	40.
Kikkeri Subbarao's Chattram	600	18	30 Pandavapura
Kamambadi Mahalakshmi	600	18	30
Chamarajnagar Chattram Chamarajeswara Temple	800 ~~13.000~~ 18000	24 390	40 650 ✓
Prasanna Venkataramana	400	12	20
Hemachambadi Veerabhadra	1000	30	50.
Prasanna Nanjundeswara.	800	24	40
Lingambudhi Mahalingeswara	700	21	35
Devambudhi Deveswara.	800	24	40
Jain Basti	400	12	20
Dodda Makkam	300	9	15
Nava Musjid	300	9	15
Jumma Musjid	2200	66	110
Bakshi Narasappa's Charities	1.000	30	50 P
Bettadapur Mallikarjuna	300	9	15
Kundalpet Chattram.	400	1	20
Tirumakudlu Chattram	1,500	45	75

Parakala mutt	500	15	25	✓
Vyasaraya mutt	4000	120	200	Sri Vidya
Agasteswara Swami	1900	57	95	✓
Lunja Narasimha	1100	33	55	✓
Talakad Kirthi Narayana	700	21	35	
Mugur Tripura Sundari	600	18	30	
Doseswara.	400	12	20	
Honnadevi	500	15	25	
Yadatore Chattram	1500	45	75	
Bheria Chattram	500	15	25	
Hanasoge Chattram	800	24	40	
Arakeswara Swami	400	12	20	
Chunchankatte Sri Ramadevaru	1500	45	75	✓
Nanjangud Chattram	1000	30	50	
Janardhana Chattram	400	12	20	
Raghavendra Swami mutt	7.000	210	350	Madh
Sri Kanteswara Swami	21.000	630	1050	✓
Kalale Lakshmi Kanta Swami	600	18	30	
Goripatheswara	700	21	35	
Chamundeswara on Kapila river	500	15	25	
Total.	2,30,400	6912	11520	

Shimoga District			
Shimoga Anjaneya.	250		
do - Lakshmi Narayana.	250		
Honnali Chattram.	450	36	60
Benkipur Lakshmi Narasimha	250.		
Sowlanga Chattram	600	18	30
Anantapur do —	500	15	25
Ikkeri Aghoreswara.	1,200	36	60
Sagar Mahaganapathi.	500	15	25.
Keladi Rameswara.	2500	75	125.
Shikaripur Chattram.	500	15	25.
Hutcharaya Swamii	500	15	25
Sorab Ranganatha.	300	9	15
Chendragutti Renukamma	500	15	25.
Hulikal Chattram.	400	12	20
Nagar Nelakanteswara	700	21	35
Agumbi chattram.	1600	48	80.
Hirtha halli Chattram	600	18	30
Maheshi chattram.	500	15	25
Mandagadde - do —.	400	12	20.
Kannur mutt.	300	9	15
Pejawar mutt.	300	9	15
Portuge - do -	400	12	20
Palmar do.	300	9	15
Udapi Sri Krishnadevaru.	7,500	225	375
Hirtahalli Rameswara.	300	9	15.
Shimoga Chattram	600	18	30.
Srungeri mutt at Kudli ~~Total~~	900	27	45
Sahyadharma Swamii Brindavana	500	15	25.
Total.	23,600	708	1180

Kadur District

Kadur Chattram	600	18	30.
Hirinagalur Kodandaramaswamy	400	12	20.
Bisagaci mutt.	300	9	15.
Bababudan Darga.	1200	36	60.
Thavikere Chattram	600	18	30.
Harihar pur mutt.	800	24	40
Rushyasringeswara at Kigga	4000	120	200
Megur Venkataramana.	400	12	20
Kalaseswara.	9000	270	450
Devavrinda Rameswara	300	9	15.
Total.	17,600	528	880.

Hassan district

Hassan chattram	600	18	30.
Grama Chattram	500	15	25.
Ramanatha pur chattram	1200	36	60.
Chaturyuga Rameswara	400	12	20.
Arakalgud Subramanya Swami	500	15	25.
Agasteswara.	300	9	15.
Bisle Chattram.	1000	30	50
Shikari Chattram.	400	12	20.
Kepsinangadi Subramanya.	700	21	35
Baralagar Santarpasae	2000	60	100
Hettiadr mutt.	6000	180	300
Holenarasipur Lakshmi Narasimha Swami	600	18	30.
Pattabhi Ramaswami & Neela Kanteswara	2500	75	125

patna Chattram	✓	700	21	35
Sravanbelagola Mutt.		3500	105	175
Arasikere Chattram		500	15	25
Banawar - do -		500	15	25
Belur — do -		800	24	40
Belur Chennakeswara Swami	✓	2000	60	100
Total.		24700	741	1235
Chitaldrug District				
Chitaldrug Chattram.		600	18	30
Muragi Mutt.		300	9	15
Bommagondanhere Chattram.		300	9	15
Hanagal Chattram.		300	9	15
Jattangi Rameswara.		300	9	15
Komarnahalli Chattram.		400	12	20
Harihar Chattram.		500	15	25
Komarnahalli Ranganatha Swami		400	12	20
Hari Kareswara.		600	18	30
Hirijur Chattram.		300	9	15
Iyara malleswara.		400	12	20
Chellakere Chattram.		300	9	15
Holakere Chattram.		300	9	15
Total.		5000	150	250

Abstract

1.	Bangalore District.	45,700	1371	2285
2.	Kolar "	14.100	423	705.
3.	Tumkur "	3.500	105	175.
4.	Mysore "	2,30,400	6912	11,520
5.	Shimoga "	23.600	708	1180
6.	Kadur "	17.600	528	880
7	Hassan "	24.700	741	1235.
8	Chitaldrug "	5,000	150	250.
	Total.	3,64,600	10938	18,230

24.4.04.

READ—

Letter No. 6687—55, dated 15th June 1901, from the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore, *re* the Muzrai Accounts.

ALSO—

D. O. dated 19th June 1903, from the same officer, to the address of the Deputy Secretary to Government in charge of the Muzrai Department, on the same subject.

No. 2892-901—MUZ. F. 5-96, DATED BANGALORE, 19TH MARCH 1904.

ORDER THEREON.—For many years past there has not existed any satisfactory system of auditing Muzrai Accounts in the Comptroller's Office. In April 1897, the Comptroller submitted to the Government in the Muzrai Department two Memoranda on the defective arrangements in his office in the matter of the audit of Muzrai Accounts, and made proposals for obtaining from the Districts, details of receipts and expenditure of all the institutions under Muzrai management and for maintaining in his office personal ledgers for each institution so that it might be possible to state at any time what was the correct balance in favor of any institution. But before such a system could be brought into full operation, the Comptroller pointed out that it was necessary to obtain from the Treasuries a list of all the temples. etc., with the balances in favor of each and verify them as far as possible with the figures of his own Office, a work which required much scrutiny and labor. For this investigation and for carrying out the current work of audit in his office, the Comptroller asked for a special temporary establishment at a cost of Rs. 105 rising to Rs. 135 per mensem. Government having agreed as to the necessity for instituting a thorough investigation into the matter, it was ordered that A. Mrityunjaya Iyer, the then Manager of the Muzrai Secretariat assisted by a clerk from the said Office and a clerk of the Comptroller's Office should be deputed for the work, and that they should proceed to every District head-quarters and ascertain the balances of the various institutions and verify them with the accounts of the Comptroller's and the District Offices.

2. The officials so deputed proceeded to the several head-quarters of Districts and performed the task assigned to them with care and intelligence. They experienced considerable difficulty in arriving at balances with any amount of certainty or accuracy. The confusion in the accounts had been caused chiefly by the Taluk Offices working on different lines from those of the Treasury, and the want of proper control from the Comptroller's Office. Further complications had been caused by the abolition of the Districts of Hassan and Chitaldrug in 1882 and their reconstitution in 1886 with certain changes of Taluks consequent thereon. Under these circumstances, the officials deputed for the examination prepared detailed statements of receipts, expenditure and balance for each institution in the several Districts, taking for their starting point for the several Districts different years, such as, 1881, 1882, 1883 and 1885 in which there was the least discrepancy between the Comptroller's and the District Office figures. In making these calculations they did away with the distinction which had formerly existed from the late Chief Commissioner's days between Income and Expenditure from "Tasdik grants" and those from "other sources" (known as "Hosa head") and which distinction was a constant source of complication in the accounts. The abolition of this distinction had been recommended by the Comptroller in his letter No. 1904-732, dated 10th September 1898, and approved by the late Dewan.

3. The results as to the balances at the credit of each institution, arrived at in the manner above indicated and brought up to the 30th June 1900, are contained in the 8 statements for the 8 Districts which have been submitted to Government with the Comptroller's letter read above. These results obtained after so much careful investigation, are accepted by Government.

4. Before finally adopting the balances shown in favor of the several institutions, however, the Comptroller says that certain charges already incurred on various

occasions have to be adjusted. These consist of expenditure sanctioned from time to time, by the Muzrai Department of the Government,

(i) as payable from District Muzrai Surplus Funds : and

(ii) as payable from Provincial Muzrai Surplus Funds.

5. Under (i) District Surplus Funds, have been sanctioned expenses of special prayers, etc., ordered on the occasions of births and marriages in the family of His Highness the Maharaja, Jubilee celebrations, repairs to important religious institutions which had no money of their own, and other miscellaneous expenditure which could not well be charged to any particular individual institution. Details of the expenditure so sanctioned and left unadjusted amount to Rs. 47,413-9-0 as shown below :—

	Rs.	a.	p.
Kolar District	2,617	6	8
Tumkur District	886	9	10
Bangalore District	8240	13	9
Mysore District	20,340	13	2
Chitaldrug District	4,264	9	1
Hassan District	6,205	15	8
Shimoga District	1,997	3	11
Kadur District	2,860	0	11
Total ...	47,413	9	0

6. The Comptroller says in regard to these charges that as they had been ordered to be met from District Muzrai Funds they have been simply deducted from the total balances of the Districts concerned, and he asks for orders to debit them against the various major institutions of such Districts in proportion to the balances that remained to their credit, the minor institutions being exempted from any payment on account of them. Such an adjustment appears to Government to be necessary because, as a matter of fact, there are no separate "District Muzrai Surplus Funds" duly constituted as such which can be operated upon for meeting unexpected expenditure. What is generally known as "District Muzrai Surplus Fund" is merely the sum total of the savings of the Muzrai Institutions of the District, and when a lump sum is ordered to be met from those savings, the Comptroller is left in doubt as to what institutions to charge it to.

7. Under the head "General (or Provincial) Muzrai Surplus Funds" sanctions were given from time to time for various charges without specifying the institutions or even the District Muzrai Funds from which they should be met. These sanctions amount to a total of Rs. 44,130-10-2 as particularized below :—

	Rs.	a.	p.
1 Repairs to the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Seringapatam ...	20,589	0	0
2 Repairs to the Sri Gangadhareswaraswami temple at Seringapatam	10,661	7	4
3 Repairs to the several temples in the Bangalore, Tumkur and Mysore Districts, in all	5,348	4	1
4 The pay of the temporary establishment employed in the Muzrai Secretary's Office costing Rs. 80 per mensem ...	6,706	14	9
5 Purchase of certain religious books	615	0	0
6 Gratuity to a clerk named Narayanasami Iyer employed in the Muzrai Secretariat	210	0	0
Total ...	44,130	10	2

8. As a matter of fact there does not exist any fund known as "General" or Provincial" Muzrai Surplus Fund to which the above named items of expenditure can be charged, the general savings of the Muzrai Department being composed of the savings belonging to various individual institutions which savings have to be accounted

for to them. Particular sources have therefore to be indicated from which the expenditure in the cases referred to above can be met, and these the Comptroller points out as follows :—

(a) A sum of Rs. 15,000 which was assigned as usual, in the year 1894-95 from the State Revenue for constructing and repairing Muzrai institutions, was, under the orders of Government, specially credited in a lump to Muzrai Surplus Funds in the accounts of that year, while the ordinary expenditure on construction and repairs incurred during that year was otherwise adjusted by the Comptroller. The lump sum credit of Rs 15,000 is now proposed to be taken in part adjustment of the expenditure in question.

(b) An accummulated saving of Rs. 2,278 composed of the Tasdik allowances of certain institutions which have been resumed by Government is proposed to be set off in part adjustment of the expenditure in question.

(c) The Muzrai Institutions under the management of the Palace Controller showed on the 30th June 1900 a lump sum credit of Rs 66,041, in their favour as per books of the Government Comptroller's Office. But in 1899, when the actual balance at the credit of those Institutions were not known, the Government in their Proceedings No. 1096-7 —Muz. F. 51-93, dated 6th April 1899, were pleased to sanction a special grant of Rs. 26,496-9-7 from General Revenues for the adjustment of the excess expenditure incurred in His Highness the Maharaja's Chattram at Mysore from July 1892 to June 1898. The Comptroller recommends that the sum of Rs. 26,496-9-7 given under these circumstances may now be taken from the sum of Rs. 66,041 referred to above and utilised towards adjusting the expenditure of Rs. 44,130-10-2 mentioned above.

9. The sums to be adjusted as described in the preceding paragraphs, amount to Rs. 91,544-3-2 as shewn below :—

Under District Muzrai Surplus Funds ..	...	Rs. 47,413	9 0
Under Provincial Muzrai Surplus Funds ...	..	Rs. 44,130	10 2
Total	...	Rs. 91,544	3 2

Upon a consideration of all the circumstances, Government are pleased to direct the necessary adjustments to be made from the following sources :—

(1) From the State grant of 1894-95 (*Vide* para 8 above)	Rs.	15,000	0 0
(2) From resumed grants (*Vide* para 8 above)	Rs.	2,278	0 0
(3) Amount that had been sanctioned in 1899 to meet the extra expenditure in His Highness the Maharaja's Chattram at Mysore, but which will now be met from the balance at the credit of the Muzrai Institutions under the Palace Controller's management (*Vide* para 8 above)	Rs,	26,496	9 7
ADD TO THESE.			
(4) 20 per cent of the savings of all the temples and other institutions in the State showing balance of Rs. 100 and upwards, as shown in the statements received with the Comptroller's letter No. 6687-55, dated 15th June 1901, which percentage is hereby directed to be utilized towards the adjustment now under consideration	Rs.	43,802	0 0
(5) Contribution now ordered out of the State Grant of Rs 15,000 for repairs to Muzrai Institutions provided for the current official year (1903-04)	Rs.	3,967	9 7
Total	Rs.	91,544	3 2

10. Details of the deductions of 20 per cent to be made in the savings of certain institutions to the extent of Rs. 43,802 referred to above, are given in the list appended to these Proceedings. Statements exhibiting the balances shown in

the Districtwar lists received with the Comptroller's letter No. 6687-55, dated 15th June 1901, with deductions made therein as now ordered, are hereto appended. Similar statements showing institutionwar balances up to the 30th June 1904 should be prepared by the Comptroller and submitted to Government as early as possible, together with information as to the adjustments which have to be made in the transactions of the four years from 1900-01 to 1903-04.

11. Out of the sum of Rs. 66,041 shown in para 8 above as being at the credit of the Muzrai Institutions under the management of the Palace Controller, a sum of Rs. 26,496-9-7 which had been specially sanctioned from the State revenues in April 1899, to meet certain excess expenditure incurred by former Palace Controllers in the Anna Chattram at Mysore, has, with the gracious permission of His Highness the Maharaja, been now utilized for the adjustment in part of the unadjusted items referred to in the preceding paragraphs. The balance of Rs. 39,544-6-5 should now be brought by the Comptroller to the credit of the Muzrai Institutions under the Palace Controller's management. This sum of Rs. 39,544-6-5 may be apportioned by the Palace Controller among the several institutions under him so that individual accounts may be kept by the Government Comptroller in regard to such of those institutions as come under the head " Major institutions " as described further on in these Proceedings. It is requested that the apportionment made may be communicated to Government for information.

12. As much inconvenience is caused as shown above by sanctions of expenditure being asked for and given from " District Muzrai Surplus Funds " and " General (or Provincial) Muzrai Surplus Funds, " Government direct that the use of those terms be discontinued in future, there being no such funds recognised in the accounts of the Comptroller's Office. When local authorities apply for sanction to expenditure on repairs, etc., in connection with any institution which has not sufficient funds of its own to meet the entire cost, it should be distinctly stated which institution or institutions should contribute towards the cost and to what extent, without referring to any " Surplus Fund " which is not recognised in the accounts. The Government also, in according their sanction, will, in like manner, indicate the precise source from which the charge in each case should be met.

13. The next question for consideration is the procedure to be followed in future. The Comptroller says that to avoid all differences between the balances on the books of his Office and those in the District accounts, etc., and to ensure a correct application of the funds of each important institution for its own benefit, a detailed monthly audit of the accounts of these institutions is necessary. He, however, thinks that such a separate account need not be kept for all Muzrai Institutions, but that they may be divided into " Major " institutions and " Minor " institutions, that separate personal ledgers may be for the former alone, while the funds of the latter may be clubbed together as a General Provincial Fund called " Muzrai surplus-minor institutions " as distinguished from " Muzrai Surplus-major institutions." The Comptroller further suggests that all Institutions whose average total annual income (*i. e.*, Government grant plus endowments and other sources) exceed Rs. 500 may be classed as " Major." He requests to be furnished with lists of such major and minor institutions, and to be informed whether in the case of those institutions which are endowed with lands, the accounts for the revenues accruing from the lands should also be audited by his office. He also says that if an audit of all the institutions is to be made in his office, an establishment costing Rs. 105 rising to Rs. 135 per mensem is required as shown in the margin.

1 Second grade accountant Rs. 50 to 65.
1 Third grade accountant Rs. 30 to 45.
1 One Junior accountant Rs. 25.

14. With reference to the above, the Government agree with the Comptroller in thinking that a regular monthly audit of the accounts of Muzrai Institutions should be conducted in his Office and they accept his proposal as to the division of the institutions into 2 classes, *viz.*, " Major " and " Minor " and direct that the institutions whose total annual receipts from all sources are not less than Rs. 250 be regarded as " Major " and the rest " Minor " and that separate individual accounts should be kept for the " Major " institutions only, all the " Minor " institutions together being dealt with in one account. In clubbing the minor institutions together

Government deem it desirable that the accounts of Mosques and other Mahomedan Institutions should be kept separately from those of temples and other Hindu Institutions. The accounts of the several Matts under Government management and of all the Chattrams in the State should also be kept separately and individually whether they are Major or Minor. A list of the Major Institutions namely, those whose income from all sources is Rs. 250 and above will be prepared in this Office and furnished to the Comptroller at an early date.

15. The accounts of the revenues accruing from the lands endowed to the Muzrai Institutions need not be audited in the Comptroller's Office as that matter will be attended to in the Muzrai Secretariat and abstract statements sent monthly to the Comptroller. An account showing the balances of individual Minor Institutions will also be kept in the Muzrai Secretariat for purposes of reference.

16. As regards the nature of the audit to be applied to the expenditure of the various Institutions, the Comptroller requests to be informed whether he should audit the expenditure with reference to the minor details laid down in the Tasdik Patti of each Institution or simply watch that the expenditure does not exceed the total fixed scale. On this point, the Government observe that it will be sufficient if the Comptroller checks the expenditure with reference to the total Tasdik scale under the large heads of (1) Establishment, (2) Nityakatle Paditara, etc., and (3) Hetchukatle or extraordinary Utsavams, all the other minor details being attended to in the Muzrai Secretariat.

17. The extent of the audit to be effected in the Comptroller's Office having thus been simplified, the Government consider it sufficient if two accountants are entertained in that office for Muzrai work, one on Rs. 30 rising to Rs. 45 and the other on Rs. 25 per mensem, the charge being met from the source pointed out later on in these Proceedings, or, in the alternative, a lump sum of Rs. 60 per mensem will be placed at the disposal of the Comptroller out of which he may make his own arrangements. The Comptroller is requested to report the arrangements he would propose in the matter. For the additional heavy account work which would devolve on the Muzrai Secretariat two clerks at an aggregate cost of Rs. 45 per mensem is sanctioned.

18. For many years past a temporary establishment of four persons as shown in the margin has been maintained in the Muzrai Secretariat, at a cost of Rs. 80 per mensem. The pay of this establishment, although it is regularly disbursed, is not adjusted from any prescribed source of income. Similarly, a sum of Rs. 25 per mensem given as a grant-in-aid to the Srinivasa Mandiram at Bangalore requires adjustment, and about Rs, 500 a year at least have to be provided for unforeseen charges. Government consider that the payment of such charges should be provided for by proportionate reductions from the savings of the richer Muzrai Institutions. For the present the items of charges referred to in this and the last preceding paragraphs which aggregate Rs. 3,140 per annum, will be debited in equal proportions to the savings of the Sri Kalaseswara temple at Kalasa and the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamrajnagar which have large amounts at their credit. The actual charges incurred will be debited by the Comptroller at the close of each year to the temples above named as directed above.

1 Clerk on Rs. 20.
2 Agamiks on Rs.20 each 40
1 Overseer on 20.

19. The Comptroller to Government is requested to prepare and submit the forms of Muzrai Accounts which should be adopted in future and to give immediate effect to the scheme sanctioned in these Proceedings.

20. Two other matters connected with the finances of Muzrai Institutions relate to deposits in Savings Banks and cash endowments by private individuals known as Puduvat Funds. These will be dealt with separately on full information being obtained from the Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Secretary, Muzrai Department.

To—The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Palace Controller, Mysore.

Balance statement of Muzrai Funds of the Bangalore District.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1906	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount	Remarks.
BANGALORE TALUK.	Rs. a p	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p	
Sri Venkataramanaswami temple	38 9 10		38 9 10	
Vasantapur Vallabarayaswami temple	0 0 5		0 0 5	
Bangalore chattram and Langarkhana	3 2 8		3 2 8	
Vartur Channarayaswami	29 7 8		29 7 8	
Balepete Sugriva temple	1 9 6		1 9 6	
Do Venkataramanaswami in Sugriva temple	1 15 0		1 15 0	
Sumatendra Matt Anjaneyaswami	1 6 2		1 6 2	
Bangalore Chowdeswari	1 3 4		1 3 4	
Krishnarajpur Kasivisveswaraswami	1 1 8		1 1 8	
Vartur Kasivisveswari ditto	2 0 4		2 0 4	
Bettapur Thimmaraya ditto	82 8 4		82 8 4	
Khaji allowances	51 12 3		51 12 3	
Yomidars allowances	43 13 4		43 13 4	
Thippasetti Matta Basavanna	16 0 8		16 0 8	
Bettapur Kasivisveswaraswami	7 12 0		7 12 0	
Vartur Basavanna	0 12 6		0 12 6	
Kengeri Anjaneyaswami	12 13 6		12 13 6	
Begur Nagareswara ditto	13 5 2		13 5 2	
Karanji Anjaneya ditto				
Krishnarajpur Kodandaramadevaru	5 5 5		5 5 5	
Prasanna Gangadhareswaraswami	81 3 3		81 3 3	
Kasbakatte Vinayaka	107 0 6	20 0 0	87 0 6	
Krishnarajpur Chawdi Vinayaka	7 6 6		7 6 6	
Jibu Anjenayaswami	0 2 0		0 2 0	
Do Someswaraswami	0 7 9		0 7 9	
Madivala Someswaraswami	1 13 3		1 13 3	
Manjee ditto	70 4 0		70 4 0	
Banaswadi Anjaneya ditto	1 4 0		1 4 0	
Agrahar Gopalaswami	2 2 0		2 2 0	
Tiruvennamalai Arunacheleswaraswami	459 2 5	90 0 0	369 2 5	
Prasanneswaraswami	45 2 8		45 2 8	
Yelahanka Venugopalaswami	9 8 10		9 8 10	
Singapur Varadarajaswami	1 14 10		1 14 10	
Settihalli Narasimhaswami	0 6 0		0 6 0	
Havalee Vinayaka	0 7 9		0 7 9	
Kattee Do	0 7 9		0 7 9	
Oalakanteswaraswami	2 1 3		2 1 3	
Venkatapur Kalyanasundara	1 14 10		1 14 10	
Ibalur Karagadevaru	2 15 6		2 15 6	
Arlepete Vinayaka	12 10 6		12 10 6	
Siddapur Someswara	216 12 9	42 0 0	174 12 9	
Gurumurti Sastry's allowance	0 5 0		0 5 0	
Chikkapeta Kasivisveswara	19 2 0		19 2 0	
Total	1,360 7 1			

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds etc.—contd.

Institutions	Closing balance on 30th June 1900.	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount.	Remarks.
DODBALLAPUR TALUK	Rs a p.	Rs a p	Rs a p	
Ghate Subramanyeswaraswami temple	9,346 13 1	1,868 0 0	7,478 13 1	
Venkataramanaswami	51 11 4	.	51 11 4	
Sasalu Anjaneya temple	27 3 2	...	27 3 2	
Kasivisveswaraswami	2 0 4	...	2 0 4	
Kasba Chandramouleswaraswami	13 4 0	... ..	13 4 0	
Nagenahalli Thumaladevaru	1 12 0	.	1 12 0	
Tubikere Venkataramanaswami	35 9 0	.....	35 9 0	
Varshasna allowance	4 13 4	...	4 13 4	
Total	9,483 2 3	....	... ..	
NELAMANGALA TALUK				
Sivaganga Gangadharesvaraswami temple	5,697 4 3	1,138 0 0	4,559 4 3	
Sivaganga Matta	24,028 15 1	.....	24,028 15 1	
Basavanahalli Venkataramanaswami	60 1 2	.....	60 1 2	
Hanumanapalya Anjaneyaswami	5 2 9	.	5 2 9	
Begurkere Thimarayaswami	4 6 0	.....	4 6 0	
Hesarghatta Narasimhaswami	225 10 0	44 0 0	181 10 0	
Domasandra ditto	0 15 6	...	0 15 6	
Nelamangala Vinayaka	0 3 11	.....	0 3 11	
Devarhasahalli Veerabhadraswami	4 5 9	...	4 5 9	
Mahantana Matta	1 2 4	..	1 2 4	
Kasba Asath Maszid	2 15 11	... ..	2 15 11	
Gavimata Honnadevi	4 13 5	.....	4 13 5	
Ivarkandapura Venugopalaswami	1 7 3	.....	1 7 3	
Varshasna	0 2 0	.....	0 2 0	
Nijigal Anjaneyaswami	2 6 10	...	2 6 10	
Nelamangala Khaji	21 6 11	...	21 6 11	
Total ...	30,061 7 1		...	
DEVANHALLI TALUK.				
Devanhalli Venugopalaswami	... ..		...	
Budigere Chavdi Ganesa	2 2 8	..	2 2 8	
Vadigenahalliswami	.	..	.....	
Kundana Kasi Visveswaraswami	12 9 6	.....	12 9 6	
Vadigenahalli Anjaneyaswami	0 4 0	.	0 4 0	
Devanhalli Krishnachar	0 8 8	.....	0 8 8	
Vadigenahalli Soorbhatta	1 5 4	.....	1 5 4	
Budigere Khaji	1 3 2	...	1 3 2	
Devanhalli Peethambara Anjaneyaswami	115 12 0	22 0 0	93 12 0	
Vadigenahalli Someswara ditto	0 11 8	... ..	0 11 8	
Avathy Divya Gargeswara ditto	1 0 8	. ....	1 0 8	
Total ...	135 9 8	.	.	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds, etc.— contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1906			Deductions at 20 per cent			Net amount			Remarks
CHENNAPATNA TALUK	Rs	a.	p.	Rs	a	p.	Rs	a.	p	
Maloor Aprameyaswami	*172	9	5	34	0	0	138	9	5	*Rs 9,000, invested in Government Savings Bank.
Ditto Kailasesvaraswami	152	11	7	30	0	0	122	11	7	
Ditto Gopalakrishnaswami	13	3	0				13	3	0	
Chikka Maloor Anjaneyaswami	2	7	9				2	7	9	
Channapatna Kasi Visvesvaraswami	67	9	3				67	9	3	
Devarhosahalli Anjaneyaswami	186	5	11	36	0	0	150	5	11	
Gonur Revana Siddesvaraswami	373	15	9	74	0	0	299	15	9	
Veerathanamatta Basavesvaraswami	7	4	8				7	4	8	
Chikk Maloor Arkesvaraswami	6	2	1				6	2	1	
Mahajenahalli Venkataramana	7	5	0				7	5	0	
Closepet Mahankali	0	3	8				0	3	8	
Ramagirihill Sri Ramadevaru	1,922	4	6				1,922	4	6	Rs 1,900, invested in Government of India Securities.
Kengal Anjenayaswami	3	7	2				3	7	2	
Kudlur Ramaswami	15	8	0				15	8	0	
Masjid	0	0	4				0	0	4	
Channapatna Khaji	0	9	7				0	9	7	
Ditto Akalashah Kadiri Makan	457	9	0	90	0	0	367	9	0	
Varshasna allowance	39	5	4				39	5	4	
Total	3,428	10	0							
ANEKAL TALUK										
Bannerghatta Champakadhamaswami	1,686	4	0	336	0	0	1,350	4	0	
Huskur Maddoorammah	155	2	5	30	0	0	125	2	5	
Sarjapur Somesvaraswami	3	12	2				3	12	2	
Sarjapur Jaghir allowances	2,194	2	2				2,194	2	2	
Huskur Khaji	20	15	5				20	15	5	
Rajapur Matta	50	14	3				50	14	3	
Muthalanur Venkataramanaswami	366	5	4	72	0	0	294	5	4	
Bannerghatta Chattram	0	6	6				0	6	6	
Hebbagudi Chattram	28	6	6				28	6	6	
Nallasandra Anjaneyaswami	56	10	0				56	10	0	
Sarjapur Kodandaramadevaru	26	6	6				26	6	6	
Haragadda Chennakesavadevaru	0	1	1				0	1	1	
Venugopalaswami	5	11	8				5	11	8	
Naraji Basavannah	26	0	6				26	0	6	
Total	4,621	2	6							
HOSKOTE TALUK.										
Hoskote Ananda Anjaneyaswami	7	14	11				7	14	11	
Hindiganal Sarvar ditto	1	1	4				1	1	4	
Ditto Dharmesvara ditto	2	3	0				2	3	0	
Vagati Iswara temple	0	4	0				0	4	0	
Soolabale Anjaneyaswami	0	9	4				0	9	4	
Hoskote Chattram	192	8	2	38	0	0	154	8	2	
Hoskote Jumma Masjid	1	3	2				1	3	2	
Total	205	11	11							

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds, etc.—concld.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900.	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount	Remark
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
MAGADI TALUK.				
Tirumale Ranganathaswami	2,181 15 0	436 0 0	1,745 15 0	
Aloor Anjaneyaswami	42 5 4	.....	42 5 4	
Magadi Valagar Venkataramanaswami	47 12 6	.....	47 12 6	
Thattekere Ranganathaswami	48 7 10	.....	48 7 10	
Kote Ramaswami	125 11 7	24 0 0	101 11 7	
Byachapur Varadarajaswami	13 2 9	.....	13 2 9	
Solur Ramaswami	70 5 10	.....	70 5 10	
Mudigere Ranganathaswami	35 7 1	.....	35 7 1	
Hebbal Chendramowlesvara	31 10 11	.....	31 10 11	
Ditto Anjaneyaswami	2 7 7	.....	2 7 7	
Magadi Somesvaraswami	184 12 1	36 0 0	148 12 1	
Ditto Cheluvarayaswami	0 11 6	.....	0 11 6	
Thippainbhave Anjaneyaswami	6 12 7	.....	6 12 7	
Savandruga Narasimhaswami	425 11 9	84 0 0	341 11 9	
Ditto Veerabhadraswami	61 3 2	.....	61 3 2	
Sankyaghatta Gopalakrishna	7 15 2	.....	7 15 2	
Kille Anjaneyaswami	5 14 6	.....	5 14 6	
Thippasandra Channigarayaswami	7 1 4	.....	7 1 4	
Sivaganga Matt	7 4 4	.....	7 4 4	
Kudur Khaji	11 14 4	.....	11 14 4	
Ditto Lakshminarayanaswami	17 8 0	.....	17 8 0	
Srigiripura Anjaneyaswami	6 11 2	.....	6 11 2	
Thippasandra Mahalingesvara	2 3 3	.....	2 3 3	
Ditto Gangavisvesvara	2 2 6	.....	2 2 6	
Ditto Kasi Visveswara	2 2 11	.....	2 2 11	
Tavarekere Chennakesavadevaru	0 12 8	.....	0 12 8	
Magadi Prasana Ramesvara	3 5 8	.....	3 5 8	
Muthagondalli Chendramowlesvara	17 0 4	.....	17 0 4	
Total ...	3,370 9 8	.....	.....	
KANKANHALLI TALUK.				
Kote Ranganathaswami	147 7 4	28 0 0	119 7 4	
Malagolu Mahadeveswara	294 2 0	58 0 0	236 2 0	
Kallahalli Venkataramanaswami	0 0 9	.....	0 0 9	
Kodihalli ditto	3 6 3	.....	3 6 3	
Ditto Anjaneyaswami	3 6 6	.....	3 6 6	
Hirehalli Bheemesvaraswami	1 3 5	.....	1 3 5	
Kabbala ditto	3 10 4	.....	3 10 4	
Hunasanahalli Chennakesavadevaru	1 15 0	.....	1 15 0	
Hirehalli Arunachalesvaraswami	7 0 0	.....	7 0 0	
Total ...	462 2 7	.....	.....	
District Total ..	53,128 15 9	6,429 0 0	46,698 15 9	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds of the Kolar District.

Institutions	Closing balance on 30th June 1909	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount.	Remarks.
KOLAR TALUK.	Rs a p.	Rs a p	Rs a p	
Kolar Someswaraswami temple	7 7 0	... ..	7 7 0	
Nanjundeswaraswami	37 2 10		37 2 10	
Kasi Visweswaraswami	3 6 10	. .	3 6 10	
Kolaramma	30 2 10	...	30 2 10	
Vakkaleri Malkendeswaraswami temple	672 10 1	134 0 0	538 10 1	
Sithi Sripatheswaraswami ditto	27 7 8		27 7 8	
Kyalnur Anjaneyaswami ditto	1 3 5	...	1 3 5	
Gavi Gangadharaswami ditto	1 3 2	..	1 3 2	
Gangamma ditto	10 5 9		10 5 9	
Do Anjaneyaswami ditto ..	97 8 9		97 8 9	
Kille ditto ditto ..	1 11 11	..	1 11 11	
Jagannatha Narasimhaswami ditto ..	0 15 2	.....	0 15 2	
Kadripura ditto ditto ..	1 3 0	... .	1 3 0	
Kolar chattram	80 7 1	...	80 7 1	
Thumbihalli chattiam				
Kalamma and Kamteswara	0 11 8		0 11 8	
Chawdi Ganesha	0 9 1	. .	0 9 1	
Jambalpur Nanjundeswaraswami	5 0 0		5 0 0	
Fatiali Sha Darga (Makbara)	655 5 4	130 0 0	525 5 4	
Bara Imam Makan	79 14 2	...	79 14 2	
Personal allowances	1,067 11 9	...	1,067 11 9	
Mastaram Udasimatt	7 4 0	..	7 4 0	
Sugatur Masjid	3 9 6	... .	3 9 6	
Iygondahalli Masjid	14 6 0		14 6 0	
Kote ditto	2 4 8	... ..	2 4 8	
Kutabsha gori	18 0 0	.. ...	18 0 0	
Chikkalli Syed Bhaddruddin Masjid	83 5 6	...	83 5 6	
Hemagal Masjid	14 6 0	..	14 6 0	
Vikkaleri Masjid	7 4 0	.	7 4 0	
Kyalanur Makan	2 6 4	... ..	2 6 4	
Nulpura Masjid	1 3 2	..	1 3 2	
Hasamani Makan	13 9 3	...	13 9 3	
Kajihalli Makan	13 2 10	.. ...	13 2 10	
Madivala Makan	229 0 10	44 0 0	185 0 10	
Hagalavadi Makan	3 6 1	...	3 6 1	
Mahomed Isak ditto	1 7 8	... ..	1 7 8	
Santabadu Mahomed Oosman Makan	162 14 8	32 0 0	130 14 8	
Idgah	2 9 5	.	2 9 5	
Betamangala Masjid	26 9 0	..	26 9 0	
Syangondahalli Kabarstan	2 6 8	. ..	2 6 8	
Total	3,391 7 1	... ..		

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds etc.—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900.	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount.	Remarks.
MULBAGAL TALUK.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Mulbagal chattram	2,566 0 11	512 0 0	2,054 0 11	
Krishnananda Gopalaswami	245 8 5	48 0 0	197 8 5	
Dodda Masjid	83 8 8	.....	83 8 8	
Hyder Ali Darga	135 1 1	26 0 0	109 1 1	
Kanchi Matta	0 7 10	.....	0 7 10	
Udapi Pejawar Matta	2 6 8	.....	2 6 8	
Vittala Narayanaswami	29 5 10	.....	29 5 10	
Tayalur Ramadevaru	31 4 8	.....	31 4 8	
Devarayasandra Vittala Narayanaswami	7 0	.....	7 11 0	
Ditto Vadyanatheswaraswami	0 13 0	.....	0 13 0	
Adavi Ramalingeswaraswami	6 0 11	.....	6 0 11	
Kasba Someswaraswami	1 8 7	.....	1 8 7	
Kasba Kasivisweswaraswami	0 11 8	.....	0 11 8	
Byrkur Ramadevaru	3 1 2	.....	3 1 2	
Agara Kasi Visweswara	1 11 4	.....	1 11 4	
Kasba Kodandaramadevaru	0 0 8	.....	0 0 8	
Yeldur Ramadeveru	0 1 2	.....	0 1 2	
Personal Allowances	259 4 0	.....	259 4 0	
Mulbagal Anjaneyaswami	2,430 10 9	486 0 0	1,944 10 9	
Mallanaikenhalli Triambakeswara	0 2 4	.....	0 2 4	
Yeldur Anjaneyaswami	0 5 10	.....	0 5 10	
Kuthandahalli Matan	0 3 3	.....	0 3 3	
Total ...	5,806 1 9	.....	.....	
BOWRINGPET TALUK.				
Hongalkarpur Gowreeswara temple	81 3 9	.....	81 3 9	
Budikote Someswara	54 11 0	.....	54 11 0	
Kantapur Maneswara	7 4 0	.....	7 4 0	
Bowringpet chattram	32 13 11	.....	32 13 11	
Bethamangala Arkeswaraswami	28 14 6	.....	28 14 6	
Personal allowance	1 7 0	.....	1 7 0	
Koppa Anjaneya	20 2 8	.....	20 2 8	
Bethamangala Vijendraswami	19 13 2	.....	19 13 2	
Ditto Ramaswami	0 4 6	.....	0 4 6	
Ditto Anjaneya temple	5 12 6	.....	5 12 6	
Kamasandra Chaudeswara	0 8 0	.....	0 8 0	
Madivala Suyambhueswara	2 12 8	.....	2 12 8	
Tripati Parakalamatt	0 2 0	.....	0 2 0	
Pilavari Venkataramanaswami	1 7 3	.....	1 7 3	
Guttahalli ditto	4 10 0	.....	4 10 0	
Ramasagar Chennakesavadevaru	3 13 11	.....	3 13 11	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds etc.—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deductions at 20 per cent	Net amount	Remarks.
BOWRINGPET TALUK—concld	Rs a p	Rs a. p	Rs a p	
Budikote Venugopaladevaru	1 3 2		1 3 2	
Ditto Parasanna Venkataramanaswami	1 3 2	..	1 3 2	
Ditto Anjaneya temple	2 13 6	... .	2 13 6	
Ditto Brindavana	1 13 1	......	1 13 1	
Varshasna etc	19 0 7		19 0 7	
Total ...	291 14 4	..	.. ..	
MALUR TALUK.				
Malur chattram . .	384 15 11	76 0 0	308 15 11	
Lakkur Someswaraswami	23 4 0	... ..	23 4 0	
Yeswantpur Kodanda Ramadevaru	0 1 4	.	0 1 4	
Tyakal Someswara	4 9 0	.. ...	4 9 0	
Personal allowance	6 6 8	.	6 6 8	
Chika Tripati Venkataramanaswami	5,032 8 5	1,060 0 0	3,972 8 5	
Total ...	5,451 13 4	... ..		
SRINIVASPUR TALUK.				
Srinivaspur Chandramouleswaraswami	2 11 6	... .	2 11 6	
Ditto Ramadevaru	0 0 4	... .	0 0 4	
Kambalpur Venugopalaswami	0 3 2	.....	0 3 2	
Murugamalli Chandramouleswara	0 6 6	.....	0 6 6	
Ditto Venugopalaswami	0 7 3	... .	0 7 3	
Ditto Anjaneya temple	0 15 2	.....	0 15 2	
Rayalpad Someswaraswami	7 8 2	. .	7 8 2	
Ronur Venkataramanaswami	0 1 6	. .	0 1 6	
Personal allowance	0 4 4	.....	0 4 4	
Total ...	12 9 11	. ..	.	
GORIBIDNUR TALUK.				
Vatadahosahalli Kesavadevaru	5 12 4	. ...	5 12 4	
Palya Venkataramanaswami	55 7 0	..	55 7 0	
Katnakal Anjaneya	2 6 8	.....	2 6 8	
Namagondlu Anjaneya	3 13 8	... .	3 13 8	
Palya Anjaneya	0 7 9	. ..	0 7 9	
Manchenhalli Venkataramanaswami	10 14 7	...	10 14 7	
Syampur Anjaneyaswami	16 7 6	... ..	16 7 6	
Taridal Kesavadevaru	11 6 0	... .	11 6 0	
Kuragodu ditto	2 14 6	.....	2 14 6	
Namagondlu Brindavana	19 6 2	.. .	19 6 2	
Ditto Venkatramanaswami	1 1 3	.....	1 1 3	
Personal allowance	8 2 0	. .	8 2 0	
Total ...	138 3 5	... ..	... ..	

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds etc.—contd.

Institutions	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deductions at 20 per cent	Net amount.	Remarks
CHINTAMANI TALUK.	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
Agrahar Anjaneya temple ...	17 15 8	..	17 15 8	
Alumgu Venkataramanadevaru	53 15 2	.	53 15 2	
Yagavakoti Veeranarayana	21 4 1	.	21 4 1	
Nekkundi Ganesha	1 14 8	.	1 14 8	
Konakuntlu Venkataramanaswami	530 11 1	46 0 0	484 11 1	Rs 300 invested in pro notes.
Kirkere Chowdeswari	17 7 0	.	17 7 0	
Personal allowance	4 12 8	.	4 12 8	
Chintamani Harihara temple	26 0 0	...	26 0 0	
Alumgeri Anjaneya	0 11 7	.....	0 11 7	
Nekkundi ditto	13 15 8	... ..	13 15 8	
Komakuntlu Narasimhaswami	0 1 4	...	0 1 4	
Singrahalli Anjaneya	62 0 8	.	62 0 8	
Addigal Ramadevaru	11 9 9		11 9 9	
Kotigal ditto	1 14 10	...	1 14 10	
Pulugurkote Venkataramanaswami	0 2 4	..	0 2 4	
Addagal Kaji	2 6 4	.. ..	2 6 4	
Murugamalli Kaji	26 5 8	... ..	26 5 8	
Siddimatta Makan	2 6 8	.	2 6 8	
Ronur Kaji	1 3 2	.. ...	1 3 2	
Yeldur Kaji	1 3 2	... ..	1 3 2	
Papanpalli Kaji	0 9 7	. ..	0 9 7	
Chintamani Kaji	0 9 7	...	0 9 7	
Kaivara Anaramanayanaswami	1 8 0	.	1 8 0	
Konapalli chattram	175 0 0	..	175 0 0	
Total ...	975 12 8	..	..	
SIDLAGHATTA TALUK				
Kotigal Ramadevaru	0 1 9		0 1 9	
Ankamatheswara	0 7 7	.	0 7 7	
Bandikunte Venkatramanaswami	60 0 0	... .	60 0 0	
Amaranarayanaswami	7 0 4		7 0 4	
Kallahalli Chowdeswari	7 2 8	.	7 2 8	
Sadalu Kesavadevaru	2 5 8	... ..	2 5 8	
Personal allowance	27 15 11	..	27 15 11	
Sidlaghatta Gopalaswami	1 7 0	.. ...	1 7 0	
Ditto Masjid	20 0 0	..	20 0 0	
Total ...	128 8 11	... ..	.....	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds etc.—concld.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900.	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount	Remarks.
BAGEPALLI TALUK.	Rs a p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs a. p	
Guddada Venkataramanaswami	1 7 3	.	1 7 3	
Puttavarthi Anjaneyaswami	0 7 7	.....	0 7 7	
Gudipalli Ranganathaswami	0 5 10	. ..	0 5 10	
Gulur Nidumandi Virabhadra	0 1 4	.	0 1 4	
Bagepalli Chitravati Anjaneya	0 15 5	... ..	0 15 5	
Gudibande Venkatramanaswami	0 15 5	..	0 15 5	
Ditto Adinarayanaswami	0 15 5	. .	0 15 5	
Ditto Jiva Anjaneyaswami	0 15 5	. .	0 15 5	
Ditto Rameswaradevaru	0 15 5	..	0 15 5	
Marjankunte Venkataramaswami	1 3 0	... ..	1 3 0	
Jilur Anjaneyaswami	0 1 4	. ..	0 1 4	
Peta Anjaneyaswami	0 5 10	. .	0 5 10	
Bagehalli Jiva Anjaneyaswami	1 1 6	.. ...	1 1 6	
Palya Venkataramanaswami	0 7 10	...	0 7 10	
Venkapur Anjaneyaswami	0 0 7	.	0 0 7	
Total ...	10 7 2	.	.. ..	
CHIKBALLAPUR.				
Nandi Bhoganandeswara temple	6,262 9 7	1,252 0 0	5,010 9 7	
Ditto Yoga ditto	162 12 11	32 0 0	130 12 11	
Ditto Umamaheswara	198 0 1	38 0 0	160 0 1	
Venugopalaswami	2 14 8	..	2 14 8	
Personal allowance	9 15 6	.. .	9 15 6	
Peresandra Sangameswara	54 9 4	.. ...	54 9 4	
Thalahalli Gopalaswami	8 0 0	..	8 0 0	
Rangasthala Ranganathaswami	.	.. ...	... ..	
Chikballapur chattram fund	. .	. .	..	
Tripati Venkataramanaswami	9 0 3	. .	9 0 3	
Thippenhalli ditto	10 0 0	.. ...	10 0 0	
Bhoganandi Virabhadra temple	2 5 6	..	2 5 6	
Chikballapur Nandiswara	0 2 0	....	0 2 0	
Patranhalli Gopalakrishnaswami	109 0 0	20 0 0	80 0 0	
Ankangondi Venkatramanaswami	5 8 0	. ..	5 8 0	
Chikballapur Anjaneyaswami	4 5 9	. ..	4 5 9	
Total ...	6,830 3 7	......	.. .	
Total, Kolar District ...	23,035 2 2	3,936 0 0	19,099 2 2	

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds of the Tumkur District.

Institutions	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deductions at 20 per cent	Net amount.	Remarks.
	Rs a p	Rs. a p.	Rs a p.	
TUMKUR TALUK.				
Mudgere Someswara	3 2 10		3 2 10	10 annas in pro-notes.
Tumkur Esvaradevaru	4,000 0 0		4,000 0 0	Rs 4,000 do
Devarayadurga Lakshminarasimhaswami	322 10 3	22 0 0	300 0 0	Rs. 203 1 4 do
Tumkur chatram	14 9 8		14 9 8	
Gulur Basavanna	2 4 0		2 4 0	
Bellavi Lakshmidevi	2 8 0		2 8 0	
Chendagada Ranganathaswami	5 11 0		5 11 0	
Tumkur Lakshmikantaswami	4 11 3		4 11 3	
Kotnalli Anjaneyaswami	19 9 7		19 9 7	
Narnagal Ramdevaru	1 3 2		1 3 2	
Gollahalli Anjenaiyaswami	7 12 9		7 12 9	
Sarangi do	30 0 0		30 0 0	
Banavar Bhimesvaraswami	20 0 0		20 0 0	
Amrutur Nanjundeswaraswami	0 7 2		0 7 2	
Tumkur Gangadhareswaraswami	0 3 6		0 3 6	
Devarayapatna Anjaneyaswami	1 12 9		1 12 9	
Settihalli Anjaneyaswami	1 3 9		1 3 9	
Total	4,437 18 8			
KUNIGAL TALUK.				
Nagasandra Chendramowlesvaraswami	10 4 4		10 4 4	Rs 0 7 2 in pro-notes.
Amritur Rameswara	0 11 10		0 11 10	0 9 0 do
Guttikere Anjaneya	7 5 11		7 5 11	0 5 5 do
Yeliyur do	21 1 11		21 1 11	1 5 4 do
Hutridurga Virabhadra	41 8 5		41 8 5	0 12 8 do
Do Venugopalaswami	0 1 0		0 1 0	
Do Adinarayanaswami	20 12 6		20 12 6	
Do Krishnaswami	1 7 2		1 7 2	
Kunigal Anjaneyaswami	0 11 8		0 11 8	
Amritur Chennakesavadevaru	2 8 1		2 8 1	
Kunigal Khaji	0 9 7		0 9 7	
Varshasna	5 4 0		5 4 0	
Total	113 6 5			
MADDAGIRI TALUK.				
Nagaval Lakshminarasimhaswami	8 4 9		8 4 9	
Varshasna allowance	0 6 4		0 6 4	
Total	8 11 1			

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds, etc—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900.	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount	Remarks
SIRA TALUK.	Rs a p	Rs. a p	Rs a p	
Balenhalli Anjaneyaswami	1 6 11	...	1 6 11	
Sira do	2 3 0	.	2 3 0	
Sazangi do	8 12 0	..	8 12 0	
Tavarekere Ranganathaswami ...	13 0 3		13 0 3	
Ramagiri do do	27 9 11	.	27 9 11	
Hosur do do	3 5 6	.	3 5 6	
Bukkapatna do do . ..	1 7 6	.	1 7 6	
Sibi Chattram ..	44 6 0	..	44 6 0	
Total ..	102 3 1	. .	.. ...	
PAVAGADA TALUK.				Rs a p.
Nidigal Someswaraswami	36 1 7	.	36 1 7	11 5 6 in pro notes.
Siddapur Anjaneyaswami	27 3 10	..	27 3 10	18 1 10 do
Arsikere do	28 9 4	... ..	28 9 4	18 11 6 do
Channakesavapur Anjaneya	28 4 9		28 4 9	18 11 6 do
Nagalmadike Subrayaswami	231 15 7	38 0 0	193 15 7	34 10 11 do
Total ..	352 3 1	...	.. ..	
CHIKNAYAKANHALLI TALUK				
Gavirangapur Ranganathaswami	52 15 6	. ....	52 15 6	38 5 0 do
Chiknayakanhalli Venkataramanaswami	4 5 7	..	4 5 7	3 10 11 do
Total ...	57 5 1	.	..	
GUBBI TALUK.				
Kallur Vasudevadevaru	166 7 10	.. ...	166 7 10	128 8 0 do
Chetnahalli Lakshminarayanaswami	8 11 10		8 11 10	6 7 0 do
Kadaba chattram	173 0 0	34 0 0	139 0 0	
Mayasandra Anjaneyaswami	0 8 9	.....	0 8 9	
Gubbi chattram	58 6 8	...	58 6 8	
Kadaba Rumadevaru	301 0 11	58 0 0	243 0 11	1 1 3 do
Sampangi Venkataramanaswami	84 15 7	... .	84 15 7	
Kadaba Khaji	4 12 8	. .	4 12 8	
Kallur Virabhadraswami	2 3 8	.. ..	2 3 8	
Chelur Byatarayaswami	0 10 0	.. ..	0 10 0	
Do Tirumaladevaru	0 4 0		0 4 0	
Do Dikshesvara	0 4 1	...	0 4 1	
Sangenhalli Narasimhaswami	0 14 0	.	0 14 0	
Varshasna allowance	6 7 1	.....	6 7 1	
Total ..	808 11 1	.....	... ..	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds, etc—concld.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900.	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount.	Remarks.
TIPTUR TALUK.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Honnenahall L. Narainasami	804 2 8	.....	804 2 8	755 13 8 in pro note. 23 6 0
Turuvekere Bytarayaswami	88 10 10	.....	88 10 10	
Do Sankareswaraswami	8 4 6	.....	8 4 6	
Hebur Virabhadraswami	1 13 2	.....	1 13 2	
Anjaneyaswami	38 8 0	.....	38 8 0	
Khaji	1 3 2	.....	1 3 2	
Ramasagar Janardhanaswami	2 4 10	.....	2 4 10	
Turuvekere Chenigarayaswami	0 14 6	.....	0 14 6	
Do Gangadharesvaraswami	0 2 10	.....	0 2 10	
Do Aghoresvaraswami	0 3 8	.....	0 3 8	
Nonavinkere Bytarayaswami	35 8 2	.....	35 8 2	
Do Gopalaswami	43 8 1	.....	43 8 1	
Kanatur do	5 8 6	.....	5 8 6	
Arlagoppa Narasimhaswami	1 3 4	.....	1 3 4	
Huthyal do	5 13 0	.....	5 13 0	
Tiptur Ranganathaswami	3 5 8	.....	3 5 8	
Karodi do	4 6 0	.....	4 6 0	
Sarathole Channakesavadevaru	5 12 0	.....	5 12 0	
Vignasante Narasimhaswami	0 9 8	.....	0 9 8	
Honnavalli Anjaneyaswami...	0 7 9	.....	0 7 9	
Balalingesvara	0 7 9	.....	0 7 9	
Jiladhaya	1 7 0	.....	1 7 0	
Miscellaneous Varshasna	5 12 10	.....	5 12 10	
Belavanur Anjaneyaswami	0 3 10	.....	0 3 10	
Total ...	1,060 5 9	.....	.....	
District Total ...	6,054 1 5	152 0 0	5,902 1 5	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds of the Mysore District.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900.	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount.	Remarks.
MYSORE TALUK.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Nagaval Lakshmikantaswami	334 6 10	60 0 0	274 6 10	29 0 0 in Pro note
Hebbal do	235 11 10	40 0 0	195 11 10	28 0 0
Virangere Anjaneya	243 13 8	44 0 0	199 13 8	19 0 0
Prasanna Venkataramanaswami	196 12 0	34 0 0	162 12 0	19 0 0
Do Nanjundeswara	1,544 5 0	300 0 0	1,244 5 0	85 0 0
Devambudhi temple	220 3 10	42 0 0	178 3 10	5 0 0
Do chattram	710 14 8	138 0 0	572 14 8	20 0 0
Lingambudhi temple	114 11 10	22 0 0	92 11 0	15 0 0
Do chattram	39 9 8	.....	39 9 8	
Sagarkatte do	865 9 8	154 0 0	711 9 8	100 0 0
Basti temple	76 1 4	.....	76 1 4	
Kodandaramaswami	267 13 6	44 0 0	223 13 6	42 0 0
Kille Venkatramanaswami	50 2 2	.....	50 2 2	2 0 0
Mysore chattram	2,665 1 3	.....	2,665 1 3	
Honnajammane chattram	2 10 2	.....	2 10 2	
Mari temple	54 8 5	.....	54 8 5	3 0 0
Chamundi temple	8 10 4	.....	8 10 4	
Anandur Tirunarayanaswami	24 2 9	.....	24 2 9	
Ditto Amruteswara	18 7 7	.....	18 7 7	
Trineswara temple	19 10 5	.....	19 10 5	
Kalabhyrava temple	18 5 0	.....	18 5 0	
Kille Varahaswami	140 0 5	.....	140 0 5	
Hiredevati temple	1 7 4	.....	1 7 4	
Manonmaneswara temple	21 11 8	.....	21 11 8	
Japadakattemath	145 7 1	.....	145 7 1	
Paschamabagal Ganapathi	0 2 0	.....	0 2 0	
Kalasavady Anjaneya	14 10 7	.....	14 10 7	
Jumma Masjid	1,330 1 3	266 0 0	1,064 1 3	
Langarkhana	193 13 1	38 0 0	155 13 1	
Nava Masjid	6 14 6	.....	6 14 6	
Elwal musafirkhana chattram	27 5 2	.....	27 5 2	
Mahadeveswara	0 10 10	.....	0 10 10	
Krishnaswami temple	136 13 0	.....	136 13 0	
Mysore Sanskrit College	3,785 5 10	.....	3,785 5 10	
Bhatamanya and Varshasana	132 7 1	.....	132 7 1	71 0 0
Total ...	13,648 9 9	.....	.....	

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds, etc —contd.

Institutions	Closing balance on 30th June 1,900	Deductions at 20 per cent	Net amount	Remarks.
SERINGAPATAM TALUK	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p.
Sri Ranganathaswami temple ..	1,272 14 3	94 0 0	1,178 14 3	800 0 0 in Pro note
Do Gangadhareswara do . .	316 10 11	.	316 10 11	250 0 0
Do Narasimhaswami do ...	1 287 1 8	176 0 0	1,111 1 8	400 0 0
Do Jotirmtheswara do	639 5 4	86 0 0	553 5 4	200 0 0
Do Kar ghatta Venkatramanaswami	673 5 11	94 0 0	579 5 11	200 0 0
Do Tonnur Narayanaswami .. .	613 8 10	86 0 0	527 8 10	175 0 0
Do do Gopalakrishnadevaru	592 13 4	88 0 0	504 13 0	150 0 0
Do do Yoganarsimhaswami	234 7 6	30 0 0	204 7 6	75 0 0
Do do Kailaseswara	236 9 6	..	236 9 6	150 0 0
Do do Anjaneya	2 8 8		2 8 8	
Do Melkote Narayanaswami	4 074 6 8	694 0 0	3,380 6 8	600 0 0
Do do Narasimhaswami	2 972 5 4	420 0 0	2,552 5 4	800 0 0
Seringapatam chattram	710 1 8	142 0 0	568 1 8	
Balamuri chattram	832 12 5	166 0 0	672 12 5	
Melkote do	557 1 11	110 0 0	447 1 11	
Chendagal Anjaneya	79 15 2	.	79 15 2	
Do Prasanna Nanjundeswara . . .	168 5 0	32 0 0	136 5 0	
Srinivasakshetra Narasimhaswami	112 8 0	..	112 8 0	100 0 0
Trichengud Ardhanareswaraswami	645 1 8	..	645 1 8	644 0 0
Chatrada Venkatramanaswami	62 14 8		62 14 8	25 0 0
Manikavinka Gunjanarsimha	7 0 6		7 0 6	
Herodu Malleswara	3 9 11	.. ..	3 9 11	
Balamuri Agasteswara . ..	0 8 0		0 8 0	
Melkote Ramaswami	0 3 3	.. ..	0 3 3	
Kyatanhalli Ramadevaru	12 9 7		12 9 7	
Muddenalli Narayanswami	7 7 1	..	7 7 1	
Prasanna Venkataramanaswami	4 12 3	. .	4 12 3	
Valagudi do	0 13 10	.....	0 13 10	
Kallyani do	15 10 0		15 10 0	
Krishnaswami	46 6 3	.	46 6 3	
Krishnadiddi Anjaneya	0 0 4	..	0 0 4	
Gajendravaradarajaswami	1 11 4		1 11 4	
Ingulgappa Anjaneya	5 9 3		5 9 3	
Karighatta do	73 1 6	.	73 1 6	
Somanarpeta do	43 13 8	. ..	43 13 8	
Srirangapatna Mari temple	11 13 3	..	11 13 3	
Agrahar Rameswara	89 0 5		89 0 5	
Sivaganga (Upavasi) Matt	267 8 5		267 8 5	261 0 0
Lakshmidevi	5 0 0	.. ..	5 0 0	
Kasi Visveswara	2 6 8		2 6 8	
Balamuri Janardanaswami	14 9 5	.. ..	14 9 5	
Kilarada Mari temple	24 4 9	.	24 4 9	
Basti temple	26 5 9	. ..	26 5 9	
Bhatamanya and Varshasana ... , ...	336 10 4	..	336 10 4	
Total .	17,08? 11 2	.	..	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds, &c.—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900.	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net Amount.	Remarks.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
CHAMRAJNAGAR TLAAU.				
Guddamatta Virabhadradevaru	4,586 6 2	288 0 0	4,298 0 0	3,145 0 0 in Pro note
Haradanalli Divyalingeswara	235 15 6	.....	235 15 6	165 0 0
Do. Anjaneya	13 11 4	.....	13 11 4	12 0 0
Mangala Lakshminarayanaswami	200 6 10	22 0 0	178 6 10	90 0 0
Kasb Basti temple	34 11 6	.....	34 11 6	16 0 0
Maliyurbetta Basti temple	392 15 4	24 0 0	368 15 4	271 0 0
Kasba Virabhadradevaru	6 4 4	.....	6 4 4	1 0 0
Chamarajeswaraswami temple	* 6,276 3 8	4,580 0 0 } +450 0 0 } +450 0 0 }	166 3 8	7,098 3 8
Kempananjeswara	19 2 7	.....	19 2 7	60 0 0
Kasba chattram	642 10 11	116 0 0	526 10 11	351 12 4
Ganaradhane chattram	475 5 6	24 0 0	451 5 6	40 0 0
Bendarvadi do	242 15 2	40 0 0	202 15 2	5 0 0
Venkatainachatra Venkataramanaswami	22 0 5	.....	22 0 5	208 0 0
Umattur Ranganathaswami	220 12 6	.....	220 12 6	18 0 0
Do Bhujangeswara	51 2 6	.....	51 2 6	
Do tank repairs	177 8 0	.....	177 8 0	
Mangala Sankareswara	9 8 4	.....	9 8 4	4 0 0
Hardnalli Gopalakrishnadevaru	235 3 7	46 0 0	187 3 7	
Haralakote Anjaneya	17 12 9	.....	17 12 9	
Guddmatta chattram	0 8 0	.....	0 8 0	
Nagar Virabhadradevaru	1 11 11	.....	1 11 11	
Alur Chennigarayadevaru	26 2 6	.....	26 2 6	
Bhatamanya allowance	2 15 9	.....	2 15 9	
Total ...	13,912 3 1	.....	.....	
T.-NARSIPUR TALUK.				
Tirumakudlu Agasteswaraswami	1,725 13 8	46 0 0	1,679 13 8	1,495 0 0
Narsipur Gunjanarsimhaswami	388 14 6	.....	388 14 6	375 0 0
Mugur Tripurasundrammadevaru	11,261 14 7	584 0 0	10,677 14 7	8,337 0 0
Mudakatore Mallikarjunadevaru	10,813 7 11	562 0 0	10,251 7 11	8,002 0 0
Sosale Honnadevi	33,950 2 11	768 0 0	33,182 2 11	30,102 8 0
Do Janardanaswami	78 6 1	.....	78 6 1	8 0 0
Do Venugopalaswami	7 13 10	.....	7 13 10	
Do Anjaneyadevaru	3 10 3	.....	3 10 3	
Srirangarajpur do	41 2 0	.....	41 2 0	1 0 0
Talkad Chowdeswari	391 2 8	.....	391 2 8	300 0 0
Hemagi Someswara	118 2 10	.....	118 2 10	112 0 0
Do Varadarajaswami	22 8 1	.....	22 8 1	
Tirumakudlu Aswatharatti...	79 9 1	.....	49 9 1	30 0 0
Talkad Anandeswaraswami	881 13 10	118 0 0	763 13 10	290 0 0
Narsipur Mulastaneswaraswami	28 15 6	.....	28 15 6	26 0 0
Mugur Doddabasti temple	16 9 2	.....	16 9 2	3 0 0
Do Chika do	45 2 0	.....	45 2 0	
Do Narayanaswami	51 12 0	.....	51 12 1	37 0 0

* Includes Sayer collections.

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds, etc.—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deductions at 20 per cent	Net amount.	Remarks
	Rs a. p.	Rs a p	Rs. a. p	Rs. a. p
T NARSIPUR TALUK—concld.				
Goduallmari temple	177 3 10	34 0 0	143 3 10	
Talkad Kirtinarayanswami	180 4 1	28 0 0	152 4 1	31 0 0 in Pro note
Do Bendarsiama	111 11 8	. ..	111 11 8	91 0 0
Do Vaidyeswaradevaru	155 10 1		155 10 1	149 0 0
Tirumakudlu chattram	315 11 7	44 0 0	271 11 7	91 0 0
Mugur Desiswaradevaru	1,328 14 8	88 0 0	1,240 14 8	880 0 0
Sosale Veerabhadradevaru	676 5 5	34 0 0	642 5 5	500 0 0
Ukkalgere Mallikarjunadevaru	7,112 14 5	162 0 0	6,950 14 5	8,300 0 0
Bennur Hemadrammal	23 10 2	.....	23 10 2	
Do Kylaseswara	0 0 3	... .	0 0 3	
Somanathpur Kesava Janardana	63 2 0	.....	63 2 0	
Tirumakudlu Chowdeswari	408 4 10	80 0 0	328 4 10	
Gargeswari Gargeswara	10 11 2		10 11 2	
Talkad Agasteswara	30 13 11	.....	30 13 11	
Narsipur Kalabhairavadevaru	44 12 5	.....	44 12 5	20 0 0
Sankarnarayanaswami	40 0 0	.....	40 0 0	
Mahadeveswaraswami	1 2 8	.. ..	1 2 8	
Talkad Marleswara	56 11 3	... ..	56 11 3	
Do Pathaleswaradevaru	9 0 6	...	9 0 6	
Rangasamudra Janardanaswami	3 14 6	....	3 14 6	
Talkad Vinayaka	0 12 5	. .	0 12 5	
Kudwebasti temple	19 3 10	.. ...	19 3 10	
Bennur Ramadevaru	57 4 0	.....	57 4 0	
Mogur Agateswara	1 0 9	.	1 0 9	
Bhatamanya and Varushasana allowance	2,415 0 2	. .	2,415 0 2	2,415 0 0
Total ...	73,151 5 7	.. .	.	
YEDATORE TALUK.				
Chunchenkatte Ramadevaru	907 6 8	60 0 0	847 6 8	600 0 0
Do Anjaneya	116 13 7	.....	116 13 7	25 0 0
Yedatore Narayanaswami	868 6 11	172 0 0	696 6 11	
Tandru Deviramah	237 7 5	..	237 7 5	186 0 0
Chendagal Krishnaswami	172 7 2	22 0 0	150 7 2	55 0 0
Do Anjaneya	1 1 10	. ....	1 1 10	
Yedatore Chandramouleswara	483 12 1	... ..	483 12 1	442 0 0
Hemavati Sangameswara	3 13 3	.. ..	3 13 3	3 0 0
Hampapur Durga Parameswari	539 4 7	100 0 0	439 4 7	30 0 0
Mirlikodanda Ramadevaru	72 5 11	.....	72 5 11	59 0 0
Tippur Lakshminarayanaswami	6 15 0	.....	6 15 0	5 0 0
Do Patladammah	58 5 8	.....	58 5 8	
Do Gopalakrishnadevaru	1 1, 5	... ..	1 1 5	
Yedatore Anjaneya temple	24 12 9	.....	24 12 9	21 0 0
Channigarayaswami	0 3 10	.....	0 3 10	
Saligrama Yoga Narasimhaswami	212 2 7	.....	212 2 7	127 0 0
Saligrama Bhashyakar Vigraha	9 14 7	.. ..	9 14 7	1 0 0
Mandur Arkeswara	0 1 11	... ..	0 1 11	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds, etc.—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount.	Remarks.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
YEDATORE TALUK—concld.				
Yedatore Arkeswara	832 4 7	.....	832 4 7	822 0 0 in Pro note
Do chattram	1,013 2 3	150 0 0	863 2 3	260 0 0
Bheiya do	557 4 2	78 0 0	479 4 2	161 0 0
Hanasoge do	269 0 3	50 0 0	219 0 3	11 0 0
Kutcherry Vinayaka	0 1 11	.	0 1 11	
Malur Sangameswaraswami... ... ... —	3 7 4	.....	3 7 4	
Uppergi Ajaneya wami	1 1 6	.....	1 1 6	
Kerehali do	1 1 6	.....	1 1 6	
Hanasoge do	244 13 10	48 0 0	196 13 10	
Hardnalli do	6 11 6	.....	6 11 6	
Yedatore Narasimhaswami	64 1 3	.....	64 1 3	
Kuppa Basavanmah	3 15 6	.....	3 15 6	
Mirle Hunsamah	20 15 0	.....	20 15 0	
Do Yoganarasimhaswami	1 7 4	.....	1 7 4	
Do Anjaneya temple	1 1 4	.....	1 1 4	
Chik Devirammah	0 3 4	.....	0 3 4	
Tandri Basaveswara ... ... — ...	0 7 11	.....	0 7 11	
Mirle Vinayaka	0 7 8	.....	0 7 8	
Do Chennigarayaswami...	0 7 8	.....	0 7 8	
Ballur Rameswaradevuru	23 4 3	.....	23 4 3	
Do Anjaneya	0 14 9	.....	0 14 9	
Mirle Amruteswara	0 7 8	.....	0 7 8	
Yaladahalli Lakshmidevi	4 0 3	.....	4 0 3	
Hampapur Lakshminarayanaswami	44 7 11	.....	44 7 11	25 0 0
Kudur do	1 15 0	.....	1 15 0	
Virabhadradevaru	3 7 9	.....	3 7 9	
Mallikarjunaswami	4 9 0	.....	4 9 0	
Ramasandra temple	240 0 0	48 0 0	192 0 0	
Hadya Basav Eswara	202 14 7	40 0 0	162 14 7	
Anjaneya temple	1 3 2	.....	1 3 2	
Honnenahalli Lakshmidevi	0 4 7	.....	0 4 7	
Suria Namaskara	32 9 4	.....	32 9 4	
Batamanya allowances	140 14 6	.....	140 14 6	139 0 0
Total ...	7,439 13 9	.....	.....	
NANJANGUD TALUK.				
Srikanteswaraswami temple	6,118 6 5	74 0 0	6,044 6 5	5,740 0 0
Khasa Nagarhatte	98 1 6	..	98 1 6	29 0 0
Khasba Narayanaswami	163 13 7	24 0 0	139 13 7	35 0 0
Kalale Lakshmikanthaswami	280 14 3	.....	280 14 3	258 0 0
Hedatale do	12 10 4	.....	12 10 4	12 0 0
Uppinhalli Kaivalyadevi	63 12 10	.....	63 12 10	50 0 0
Brindavana Venkatramanadevaru	78 5 8	.....	78 5 8	36 0 0
Konanur Gowripatheswara	611 11 0	72 0 0	539 0 0	250 0 0
Do Narayanaswami	47 12 10	.....	47 12 0	

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds, etc.—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount.	Remarks.
	Rs a p	Rs a. p	Rs. a. p	Rs a p.
NANJANGUD TALUK—concld.				
Hullahalli Varadarajaswami	4 6 0		4 6 0	
Maridevadi	832 1 1	128 0 0	704 1 1	187 0 0 in Pro note
Kasba chattram	827 9 8	162 0 0	665 9 8	15 0 0
Ganapathane do	249 3 0	48 0 0	201 3 0	4 0 0
Kalale Someswaradevaru	17 3 10	.....	17 3 10	2 12 0
Sindvalli Sankareswara	0 8 0	.....	0 8 0	
Kalale Ramadevaru	6 14 10	.....	6 14 10	2 12 0
Belagola Venugopalaswami	36 5 3	... ..	36 5 3	
Karyada Siddeswara	8 1 0	.....	8 1 0	
Harada Mallikarjunadevaru	51 10 2		51 10 2	
Kalale Anjaneyadevaru	40 9 8	.	40 9 8	3 0 0
Aladamma temple	185 8 0	28 0 0	157 8 0	41 0 0
Hullahalli Malleswara	14 14 3	...	14 14 3	
Kallyana Venkatramanadevaru	36 12 2	.....	36 12 2	
Tagatur Mulastaneswara	88 10 8	.....	88 10 8	
Guddada Malleswara	70 5 10	.. ...	70 5 10	
Guttoor Sankarnarayana	39 8 0	...	39 8 0	35 0 0
Tagatur Ankanatheswara	86 9 2	... ..	86 9 2	83 0 0
Hemargal Gopalaswami	7 13 8	.....	7 13 8	
Hadinaru Chennigarayaswami	0 6 3	. ..	0 6 3	
Hallakatti Anjaneya	0 15 9	.....	0 15 9	
Huradmalleswara	0 5 10	. ...	0 5 10	
Nagarli Basti temple	27 7 6	.....	27 7 6	
Parasaramadevaru	0 0 7	.....	0 0 7	
Karyada Masjid	3 9 2	.....	3 9 2	
Devanur L. Kanthadevaru	31 2 5	.....	31 2 5	
Tagatur do	3 12 7	.....	3 12 7	
Do Varadaraja temple	38 12 10	. ...	38 12 10	
Batamanya and Varshasana	54 11 8	.....	54 11 8	51 0 0
Total ...	10,241 7 3	.....	.....	
MALVALLI TALUK.				
Marihalli Narasimhaswami	285 11 3	42 0 0	243 11 3	70 0 0
Malvalli Sarangapani	13 11 9	.....	13 11 9	
Do Amruteswara	3 14 3	... ..	3 14 3	
Do Gangadhareswara	6 2 7	.....	6 2 7	
Nittu Arkeswara	32 4 7	.....	32 4 7	
Kalkuni Manchegamma	9 0 0	.....	9 0 0	
Suttor L. Narayanaswami	4 13 1	.....	4 13 1	
Varshasana allowance	9 0 0	.....	9 0 0	
Total ...	361 9 6	...	.....	
NAGAMANGALA TALUK.				
Kasba Chattram	316 0 8	62 0 0	254 0 8	
Nagamangala Kesavadevaru	17 4 10	.....	17 4 10	
Do Yoganarasimhaswami	80 10 2	.....	80 10 2	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds, etc.—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deductions at 20 per cent	Net amount	Remarks.
NAGAMANGALA TALUK.—concld.	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a. p.	
Honawar Lakshmikanthaswami	0 12 0		0 12 0	
Nagarlee Someswara	1 5 3		1 5 3	
Bendigavoh Kesavadevaru	0 8 0		0 8 0	
Nagadihalli Someswara	1 7 5	..	1 7 5	
Kyathavalli Krishnaswami	6 4 0	... .	6 4 0	
Balloor Madharaya temple	48 13 6	.	48 13 6	
Bhurvaneswara do	10 13 0	.	10 13 0	
Kotebetta Venkatramanaswami	1 4 2	.....	1 4 2	
Siddeswara temple	10 12 0	...	10 12 0	
Chelavalli Anjaneyaswami	1 12 5		1 12 5	
Mylarlinga devam	18 13 2	.. .	18 13 2	
Balmanya allowance	166 6 9	.	166 6 9	
Total ...	682 15 4	.		
HUNSUR TALUK.				
Periyapatna Masanikamma	722 12 3	144 0 0	578 12 3	
Hunsur Anjeaya temple	22 13 4	...	22 13 4	22 0 0 in Pro note
Muidur Gopalaswami	122 4 10	..	122 4 10	73 0 0
Battadapura Mallikarjunasami	1,050 2 6	.. ..	1,050 2 6	1,000 0 0
Do Kodandaramadevaru	309 9 8	.	309 9 8	236 0 0
Gudda Malleswara	8 15 3	. ..	8 15 3	1 0 0
Periyapatna Vydyeswaradevaru	25 13 0	.	25 13 0	5 0 0
Arivala Zinnedevaru	50 0 0	. ..	50 0 0	
Makkodu Basaveswara	1 4 0	.. ..	1 4 0	
Dharmapur Kesavadevaru	41 6 5	. ..	41 6 5	
Mardur Anjaneya	52 9 1	... ..	52 9 1	20 0 0
Athigod Mahadeveswara	151 1 4	20 0 0	131 1 4	50 0 0
Udabur Venkatramanadevaru	25 5 4	..	25 5 4	25 0 0
Yalakalammah temple	71 9 7	.....	71 9 7	
Periyapatna Anjaneyaswami ...	147 3 1	28 0 0	119 3 1	
Varshasana allowance	28 4 0	... .	28 4 0	
Total ...	2,831 1 8	. ..		
GUNDLUPET TALUK.				
Hemavadgopalaswami temple	4,526 7 10	83 0 0	3,690 7 10	340 0 0
Paravasudevaru	380 12 5	62 0 0	318 12 5	70 0 0
Tirukanambi Varadarajaswami	127 7 10	.	127 7 10	57 0 0
Do Virabhadradevaru	2 3 0	.. ..	2 3 0	
Do Mulastaneswara	18 0 4	... .	18 0 4	
Do Gopalaswami	6 4 11	.. ..	6 4 11	
Hulaginamurdi Venkatramanaswami	263 14 11	... ..	263 14 11	169 0 0
Gundlupet Vijeyanarayanaswami	6 14 9	... ..	6 14 9	
Do chattram	75 3 10	... ..	75 3 10	
Do Khazi	11 4 11	... ..	11 4 11	
Bettada chattram	140 2 11	28 0 0	112 2 11	
Gundlupet Varadarajaswami	3 12 7	...	3 12 7	

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds, etc.—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount	Remarks
	Rs a p	Rs a. p.	Rs a p	Rs a p.
GUNDLUPET TALUK—concld.				
Kondavadi Varadarajaswami	1 12 1	... .	1 12 1	25 0 0 in Pro note
Hengula do	27 6 4	...	27 6 4	
Begur Basti temple	39 0 8	.....	39 0 8	
Gundlupet Vinayaka	1 11 10	. .	1 11 10	
Do Ramadevaru	0 11 7	. ..	0 11 7	
Kangala-Parvatidevi	6 11 5	... ..	6 11 5	
Jambukeswara temple	0 0 8	. ..	0 0 8	
Gudda Rameswara	60 1 1	. ....	60 1 1	
Batamanya allowance	0 15 8	... .	0 15 8	
Total ...	5,701 1 7	. ..		
HEGGADDEVANKOTE TALUK.				
Kundur Chikadevamma	3,622 10 4	204 0 0	204 10 4	2,600 0 0
Matakere Rameswara	112 6 8	22 0 0	90 6 8	2 0 0
Kalabantraya temple	1 9 10	. .	1 9 10	
Bail Komaraswami	55 5 5	... .	55 5 5	
Madapur Mallikarjunadevar	13 11 11	...	13 11 11	10 0 0
Nisanada Gopalaswami	5 3 6	... ..	5 3 6	
Hurada do	13 12 8	.. ..	13 12 8	
Halkere temple	1 7 0	. .	1 7 0	
Kotigal Arkeswara	6 1 8	.....	6 1 8	
Do Lakshmikantha temple	2 14 6	... ..	2 14 6	
Kyatanalli Gangadhara do	1 4 2	.	1 4 2	
Matakere Basaveswara	0 5 9	. .	0 5 9	
Purvabagal Anjaneya temple	116 9 5	...	116 9 5	94 0 0
Someswaradevaru	9 10 4	. .	9 10 4	7 0 0
Malalur Gopalaswami	4 6 3	... ..	4 6 3	
Kittur Ramadevaru	1 5 3	...	1 5 3	
Kasba Varabgammadevi temple	8 11 6	....	8 11 6	5 0 0
Lakshmikantaswami	198 8 0	38 0 0	160 8 0	8 0 0
Sargur Narasimhaswami	70 10 9	... ..	70 10 9	87 0 0
Kittur Narayanaswami	70 0 8	... .	70 0 8	62 0 0
Mulali Basti temple	333 1 11	... .	333 1 11	250 0 0
Bidaval do	1 8 2	.	1 8 2	
Batamanya allowance	60 4 5	... .	60 4 5	
Total ...	4,711 10 1	.....	.....	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds, etc.—concld.

Institutions	Closing balance on 30th June 1900.	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount	Remarks.
	Rs. a p	Rs a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs a p.
MANDYA TALUK				
Maddoor Narasimhaswami	2,547 4 10	384 0 0	2,163 4 10	625 0 0 in Pro note
Mandya Janardansawami	225 14 4	....	225 14 4	140 0 0
Do Sakleswaraswami	18 14 1	....	18 14 1	
Maddoor Varadarajaswami	449 11 6	88 0 0	361 11 6	
Do Viswesvaraswami	11 6 0	.	11 6 0	
Budanur Anantapadmanabhadevaru	64 11 3	...	64 11 3	
Do Kasi Viswesvara	61 1 2	.. .	61 1 2	
Valgarhalli Venkataramanaswami	0 3 10	.....	0 3 10	
Mandya chattram	722 12 6	141 0 0	578 12 6	
Maddur chattram	408 3 8	80 0 0	328 3 8	
Koppa Mahalakshmidevi	8 2 0	.	8 9 0	
Do Anjaneya temple	8 2 7	...	8 2 7	
Do Chennegarayaswami	8 2 0	...	8 2 0	
Do Venkataramanadevaru	3 4 4	....	3 4 4	
Mandya Khazi	15 11 4	...	15 11 4	
Holalu Thandaveswara	2 15 6	... ..	2 15 6	
Hondigere Narayanaswami	5 2 6	.	5 2 6	
Batamanya allowance	200 9 4	..	200 9 4	
Total	4 762 4 9	.	—...	
KRISHNARAJPETE TALUK.				
Kannambady Gopalaswami	187 10 11	36 0 0	151 10 11	
Do Mahalakshmidevi	304 13 10	40 0 0	264 13 10	100 0 0
Athikuppa chattram	133 6 6	26 0 0	109 6 6	
Clankurli do	126 6 5	24 0 0	102 6 5	
Kikkeri Subba Rao's chattram	20,669 13 2	... .	20,669 13 2	26,600 0 0
Kikkeri Narasimhaswami	518 15 6	..	518 15 6	500 0 0
Lallahully Varahaswami	3 10 2	...	3 10 2	
Somenhalli Narayanswami	4 13 6	. .	4 13 6	
Sindagatta Masjid	1 15 0	. ....	1 15 0	
Athikuppa Vinayaka	28 2 9	. .	28 2 9	
Kikkeri Khazi	9 8 8	.	9 8 8	
Kannambady Kanameswara	30 2 6	.	30 2 6	
Gummahally Anjaneya	0 7 6		0 7 6	
Mandargere Eswardevaru	23 6 7	. ..	23 6 7	
Ankanatheswara	0 7 0	..	0 7 0	
Hemagiri Venkatramanaswami	361 14 3	72 0 0	289 14 3	
Kikkeri Bramheswara	8 6 3	..	8 6 3	
Total	22,414 0 6	.....	.....	
District Total	1,76,947 1 0	14,772 0 0	1,62,175 1 0	

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds of the Hassan District.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900			Deductions at 20 per cent			Net amount			Remarks.		
	Rs	a.	p.	Rs.	a	p.	Rs.	a	p.	Rs	a.	p.
HASSAN TALUK												
Hassan chattram	268	12	3	52	0	0	216	12	3			
Grama ditto	835	14	6	166	0	0	669	14	6			
Grama Yoganarasimhaswamy temple	20	7	3	.....			20	7	3			
Hassan Siddeswaraswamy	144	2	1	20	0	0	121	2	1	43	0	0 in Pro-notes.
Marikuli Rangaswami	0	13	9	... ..			0	13	9			
Hassan Hassanambadevi	18	2	9	. ....			18	2	9			
Ditto Channakesavadevaru	3	15	10	. ...			3	15	10			
Ditto Virupaksheswara	249	10	10	40	0	0	209	10	10	49	0	0
Grama Channakesavadevaru	214	15	4	32	0	0	172	15	4	52	0	0
Holalu Yoganarasimhaswami	1	6	6	.....			1	6	6			
Gorur ditto	155	13	3	.....			155	13	3	123	0	0
Palavi Janardhanaswami temple	226	11	5	24	0	0	202	11	5	105	0	0
Bylahalli ditto	1,043	6	2	108	0	0	935	6	2	500	0	0
Honnavar Virabhadra temple	38	12	6	.....			38	12	6			
Somkyakesavadevaru	0	10	0	... ..			0	10	0			
Hassan Urubagal Anjaneyaswami	20	9	8	.....			20	9	8			
Grama Ranganathaswami	61	0	6	.....			61	0	6			
Yelagunda Siddaramadevaru	6	3	6	.....			6	3	6			
Kudregundi L. Narayanaswami	1	9	2	.....			1	9	2			
Mudgere Yoganarasimhaswami	28	3	3	.....			28	3	3			
Haragu Kirthi Narayanaswami	56	5	10	.....			56	5	10			
Dudda Govindarajaswami temple	0	15	4	.....			0	15	4			
Maidenhalli Ranganathadevaru	0	5	9	.....			0	5	9			
Palya Tripurantakadevaru	31	9	0	.....			31	9	0			
Andibali Ranganathadevaru	7	15	0	.....			7	15	0			
Kansika Kesvadevaru	28	8	0	.....			28	8	0			
Hassanpeta Basavannah	15	1	9	. . .			15	1	9			
Palya Anthargatamma	15	0	0	. ..			15	0	0			
Hassan Jogur Anjaneya	5	1	7	.....			5	1	7			
Ambigadu Kesavadevaru	4	4	0	... .			4	4	0			
Chikkodlu Bhyredevaru	0	14	0	.....			0	14	0			
Maridu Chelayannah	22	11	7	.....			22	11	7			
Honnavar Thondamah	20	11	0	.....			20	11	0			
Doddakeveeladevaru	8	6	9	...			8	6	9			
Nalloor Kesavadevaru	81	10	9	.. ...			81	10	9			
Grama Anjaneyaswami	107	1	11	20	0	0	87	1	11			
Gorur Kalleswaraswami	10	8	0	...			10	8	0			
Grama Maridevate	0	8	0	.....			0	8	0			
Total ...	3,758	11	9	.....			.....					

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds etc.—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deductions at 20 per cent	Net amount	Remarks.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs a. p.	Rs a. p.	Rs. a. p.
BELUR TALUK.				
Belur chattram	2,421 5 3	484 0 0	1,937 5 3	
Halebid Hoisleswara temple	22 7 4		22 7 4	
Belur Channakesavadevaru	7,235 5 0	546 0 0	6,689 5 0	4,500 0 0 in Pro-notes.
Bellanada Chowdamah	0 12 0		0 12 0	
Kudluramah	4 5 0		4 5 0	
Haragadegadde Lakshmidevi	4 6 0		4 6 0	
Niluvagal Anjaneyaswami	38 2 0		38 2 0	
Kerebali do	17 0 0		17 0 0	
Sivasihalli do	3 0 0		3 0 0	
Madapur Kesavadevaru	4 14 0		4 14 0	
Nandigondahalli Maremah	0 8 0		0 8 0	
Lakshmipura Basavannah	5 1 0		5 1 0	
Adagur L Narayanaswami	148 7 5	28 0 0	120 7 5	
Hireguppa Channigarayaswami	5 0 0		5 0 0	
Kadagiri Anjaneyaswami	59 15 3		59 15 3	
Baidanur Gopalakrishnadevaru	262 12 0	52 0 0	210 12 0	
Hiregarji Honnammah	0 8 4		0 8 4	
Hirehalli Suggiammah	0 10 2		0 10 2	
Bikkodu Amruteswara	97 4 0		97 4 0	
Ditto Deviramah	31 4 0		31 4 0	
Pushpagiri Mallikarjunadevaru	84 12 0		84 12 0	
Gunjur Ranganathaswami	98 12 0		98 12 0	
Raghavendra Matt	9 6 6		9 6 6	
Nagadabatamanya	3 14 0		3 14 0	
Total	10,557 11 3			
ARKALGUD TALUK				
Ramanathapur chattram	132 13 6	26 0 0	106 13 6	
Konanur Gopalakrishnaswami	498 14 3	62 0 0	436 14 3	170 0 0
Do Nanjundeswara	24 13 9		24 13 9	
Do Venkataramanaswami	260 8 10	24 0 0	236 8 10	139 0 0
Do Rameswaradevaru	38 8 8		38 8 8	
Basavapatna Lakshmikanta	1 7 4		1 7 4	
Do Pranathartı Hareswara	14 5 1		14 5 1	
Hebbal Basaveswara	1 1 6		1 1 6	
Do Mahalingeswara	0 7 6		0 7 6	
Do Hebbalammah	0 7 6		0 7 6	
Arkalgud L Narasimhaswami	93 15 10		93 15 10	
Do Amruteswara	40 14 4		40 14 4	
Malirajapatna Umamaheswara	1 7 4		1 7 4	
Rudrapatna Channakesavadevaru	7 8 8		7 8 8	

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds etc.—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1903.	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount.	Remarks.
ARKALGUD TALUK.—concld.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Ramnathpur Chaturbhuja Pattabi Ramaswami... ...	332 15 9	66 0 0	266 15 5	
Do Agastheswara	42 9 5	.....	42 9 5	
Malirajapatna Lakshmaneswara	216 10 1	36 0 0	180 10 1	29 0 0 in Pro-notes.
Hulikal Venkatramanaswami	62 8 3	.....	62 8 3	
Malirajapatna Nanjundeswara	13 4 3	.....	13 4 3	
Do Agastheswara	7 8 0	.....	7 8 0	
Do Subramanyadevaru	0 3 8	.....	0 3 8	
Kerlapur Virabhadradevaru	3 0 0	.....	3 0 0	
Hengal Anjaneyadevaru	4 10 0	.....	4 10 0	
Ramnathpur Ramaswami	363 9 4	36 0 0	327 9 4	180 0 0
Do Arkeswaradevaru	6 4 4	.....	6 4 4	
Hoskote Mallikarjunadevaru	1 8 0	.....	1 8 0	
Gubbi Keswadevaru	81 4 6	.....	81 4 6	
Hanasoge chattram	10 4 10	.....	10 4 10	
Varshasana allowance	40 0 1	.....	10 0 1	
Total ...	2,303 15 7	.....	.....	
HOLE-NARSIPUR TALUK.				
Hole-Narsipur Lakshminarasimhaswami	587 0 11	84 0 0	503 0 11	162 0 0
Do Chaturbhuja Pattabi Ramaswami ...	5,906 15 1	.....	5,906 15 1	5,900 0 0
Nadebali Anjaneya	3 4 5	.....	3 4 5	
Mavinkere Venkataramanadevaru	2 14 9	.....	2 14 9	
Agrahara Arkeswaradevaru	24 4 11	.....	24 4 11	
Mavanur Keswadevaru	2 14 4	.....	2 14 4	
Nagarathahalli Lakshmidevi	5 0 0	.....	5 0 0	
Naldur firka do	226 8 0	44 0 0	182 8 0	
Hulikal Narayanaswami	4 0 9	.....	4 0 9	
Do Venkataramanaswami	67 7 2	.....	67 7 2	
Benkiparadesi Matta	1 11 6	.....	1 11 6	
Yellammadevaru	10 8 2	.....	10 8 2	
Kasiea Nilakanteswara	0 3 10	.....	0 3 10	
Lakkuramah	0 8 0	.....	0 8 0	
Amruteswara	3 0 0	.....	3 0 0	
Anthargattamah	0 7 8	.....	0 7 8	
Chikjogirhalli Anjaneya	1 3 8	.....	1 3 8	
Maliyur Lakshmidevi	2 5 6	.....	2 5 6	
Gavisonnahalli Ranganathadevaru	1 7 0	.....	1 7 0	
Bagepalli Guddedevaru	110 10 5	22 0 0	88 10 5	
Madapur Jambukeswara	6 13 7	.....	6 13 7	
Halekote Udasalammah	20 6 8	.....	20 6 8	
Varshanna allowance	28 8 9	.....	28 8 9	
Total ...	7,018 5 1	.....	.....	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds etc.—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900.	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount.	Remarks.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ARSIKERE TALUK.				
Banavar chattram	1,146 15 9	228 0 0	918 15 9	
Gandasi do	26 7 11	.....	26 7 11	
Udiganahal Chowdeswari	477 7 10	94 0 0	383 7 10	
Thalalu Sambulingeswara	47 6 0	.....	47 6 0	
Gandasi Channakeswadevaru	115 12 6	22 0 0	93 12 6	
Do Sambulingeswara	395 1 9	78 0 0	317 1 9	
Harnahalli Channakeswadevaru	4 4 6	.....	4 4 6	
Malekal Tripati Venkatramanaswami	1,543 11 11	128 0 0	1,415 11 11	900 0 0 in Pro notes.
Kolagunda do	146 2 5	28 0 0	118 2 5	
Garudangiri Chandramouleswara	40 11 2	.....	40 11 2	
Do Sankareswara	63 11 8	.....	63 11 8	
Do Anjaneya	56 8 10	.....	56 8 10	
Banavar Marthanda Bhyrava	61 14 1	.....	61 14 1	0 10 0
Manakatur Bhyravadevaru	18 13 4	.....	18 13 4	7 4 9
Nilgri Channakesavadevaru	34 0 7	.....	34 0 7	
Ganjigerepura Ranganathadevaru	17 10 10	.....	17 10 10	
Banavar Channakesavadevaru	161 6 2	26 0 0	135 6 2	25 0 0
Harnalli Someswara	17 4 2	.....	17 4 2	
Bettapur Ranganathaswami	191 14 5	.....	191 14 5	114 12 9
Javagal Anjaneya	9 8 0	.....	9 8 0	
Do L Narasimhaswami	409 12 6	68 0 0	341 12 6	61 10 8
Kondegandlli Anjaneyaswami	10 0 0	.....	10 0 0	
Banagiri do	110 6 6	22 0 0	88 6 6	
Do Marla Siddeswara	110 6 6	22 0 0	88 6 6	
Gandasi Kazi	9 11 4	.....	9 11 4	
Killengiri L. Narayanaswami	115 7 7	22 0 0	93 7 7	
Bygade Madhavarayaswami	41 3 3	.....	41 3 3	
Halladakere Channigarayaswami	39 12 1	.....	39 12 1	
Sulagiri Kalleswara	13 3 9	.....	13 3 9	
Honnavalli Anjaneyaswami	2 0 0	.....	2 0 0	
Arsikeregollarahatti do	41 4 0	.....	41 4 0	
Udiganal Kariammah	38 8 0	.....	38 8 0	
Harihalli Kotyama	114 7 0	22 0 0	92 7 0	
Narnagatta Kalleswara	5 14 2	.....	5 14 2	
Killengiri Anthargattamah	4 0 0	.....	4 0 0	
Busandra Brahmeswara	10 10 0	.....	10 10 0	
Hallakere Kalleswara	4 8 0	.....	4 8 0	
Total ...	5,658 1 0	.....	.....	

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds etc.—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900.	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount.	Remarks.
CHANNARAYAPATNA TALUK.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Channarayapatna chattram	1,279 2 3	254 0 0	1,025 2 3	
Dandiganahalli do	43 13 11	.....	43 13 11	
Channarayapatna Kesavadevaru	227 14 8	22 0 0	205 14 8	110 0 0 in Pro-notes.
Do Rameswaradevaru	27 2 5	.....	27 2 5	
Anavatti Someswara	13 4 0	.....	13 4 0	
Do Lakshmidevi	57 8 0	.....	57 8 0	
Begur Venugopalaswami	138 10 0	26 0 0	112 10 0	
Nuggihalli Narasimhaswami	153 10 6	24 0 0	129 10 6	25 0 0
Do Sadasivaswami	144 7 8	24 0 0	120 7 8	20 0 0
Hirisave Chowdeswari	17 0 0	.....	17 0 0	
Begur Basavannah	1 12 10	.....	1 12 10	
Channarayapatna Khazi	20 11 6	.....	20 11 6	
Hiresave Anjaneya	0 4 0	.....	0 4 0	
Subarowtu do	205 5 1	40 0 0	165 5 1	
Ayembur Ayekeswaradevaru	11 3 8	.....	11 3 8	
Kalkere Eswaradevaru	70 0 0	.....	70 0 0	
Bargur Anjaneya	0 4 0	.....	0 4 0	
Do Venugopalaswami	0 12 0	.....	0 12 0	
Gollarahalli Arkeswara	82 2 0	.....	82 2 0	
Do Ranganathadevaru	7 0 0	.....	7 0 0	
Agrahar Rameswara	30 11 8	.....	30 11 8	
Dyavanur Someswara	22 12 0	.....	22 12 0	
Novtee Nageswara	35 0 9	.....	35 0 9	
Sanenhalli Basti temple	19 15 4	.....	19 15 4	
Chalya Bhyredevaru	11 4 0	.....	11 4 0	
Bukka Betta Ranganathadevaru	548 0 9	108 0 0	440 0 9	
Jambur Kesavadevaru	319 7 6	62 0 0	257 7 6	
Nuggihalli Venugopaladevaru	52 14 11	.....	52 14 11	30 0 0
Channarayapatna Anjaneya	268 2 10	52 0 0	216 2 10	
Santeswara Gangadhara	21 8 0	.....	21 8 0	
Hosahalli Ranganathaswami	16 0 0	.....	16 0 0	
Kabbala Honnadevi	45 3 9	.....	45 3 9	
Akkanahalli Eswaradevaru	5 0 0	.....	5 0 0	
Jodiswaraghrhar Bidagodu temple	68 4 0	.....	68 4 0	
Soslagiri Anjaneya	49 6 6	.....	49 6 6	
Sogatnahalli Narayanaswami	15 13 0	.....	15 13 0	
Yeraganahal Kenchamah	57 0 0	.....	57 0 0	
Jakkeswaradevaru	58 2 11	.....	58 2 11	33 0 0
Bottada Anjaneyadevaru	10 0 0	.....	10 0 0	
Mallesvaradevaru	10 0 0	.....	10 0 0	
Dandagur Sathyamadevi	1 14 0	.....	1 14 0	
Nuggihalli Khazi	8 1 10	.....	8 1 10	
Anavatti Narasimhaswami	5 8 0	.....	5 8 0	
Kulavankadevaru	3 4 0	.....	3 4 0	
Total ...	4,185 8 3	.....	.....	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds etc.—contd.

Institutions	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net amount	Remarks
	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	
MANJARABAD TALUK				
Marnahalli chattram	783 9 7	156 0 0	627 9 7	
Hiremandi Betta Bhyravadevaru	24 3 6		24 3 6	
Avani Rameswaradevaru	17 2 0		17 2 0	
Maragatur Komuralingaswami	9 9 7	... ..	9 9 7	
Konagere Sulammah	4 3 0	. . .	4 3 0	
Karodi Gopalakrishnadevaru	8 7 4	..	8 7 4	
Belur Malleswaradevaru	5 2 5	..	5 2 5	
Kattegudda Venkataramanadevaru ...	3 5 0	. .	3 5 0	
Halebail Basavannah	7 1 3	.. ..	7 1 3	
Karodi Kalibhyravadevaru ...	2 6 4	.. .	2 6 4	
Do Basavannah .	2 10 2	. .	2 10 2	
Maigali Mallikarjunadevaru	6 3 2	... .	6 3 2	
Lingapur Basavannah	3 13 4	..	3 13 4	
Aigur Marammah	4 14 4	... ..	4 14 4	
Do Chowdi ...	2 6 3	. ...	2 6 3	
Do Malleswara	4 14 4		4 14 4	
Bhyrahalli Rameswara .	26 2 6		26 2 6	
Do Gopalakrishnadevaru	1 11 4		1 11 4	
Chikkatur Virabhadradevaru	4 5 0	..	4 5 0	
Do Sugiamma	4 3 1	. .	4 3 1	
Bhyrapura Mallikarjunaswami	1 14 8	.	1 14 8	
Sivanahalli Basavannah	4 8 10		4 8 10	
Havenahalli Anjaneya	2 14 8	. ....	2 14 8	
Do Guddu Tirumaladevaru	1 15 3		1 15 3	
Huchangi Rameswaradevaru	541 5 8	108 0 0	433 5 8	
Yesalur do	10 3 7	. .	10 3 7	
Do Petti Basavannah . ..	8 5 2		8 5 2	
Aigur Anjaneyadevaru	7 4 8	..	7 4 8	
Bomanikere Mallikarjunadevaru	43 4 3	... ..	43 4 3	
Umathur Malleswara	7 5 5	.. ..	7 5 5	
Hettur do	1 15 4		1 15 4	
Marakalli Rameswara ..	6 9 9	...	6 9 9	
Yesalurpetta Jangam Matt ...	17 0 0	. ..	17 0 0	
Hoskote Prasanna Nanjundeswara	3 11 0	. ..	3 11 0	
Kuñigal Malleswara	3 4 0	. .	3 4 0	
Saklespur Anjaneya	269 2 8	52 0 0	217 2 8	
Uppinangadi Subramanyadevaru	126 0 4	24 0 0	102 0 4	
Hanebail Bhyradevaru	50 10 0		50 10 0	
Saklespur Sakleswaraswami	0 3 0	.	0 3 0	
Doddasettigal Ahobuleswara	30 15 11		30 15 11	
Huchangi Anjaneya	204 3 6	40 0 0	164 3 6	

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds etc.—concld.

Institutions	Closing balance on 30th June 1900.	Deductions at 20 per cent	Net amount	Remarks.
	Rs a. p.	Rs a p	Rs a. p	Rs a p
MANJARABAD TALUK—concld				
Kapini Bhutu Lal-Bagh	101 15 8	20 0 0	81 15 8	
Hoskote Konchimma ...	429 9 1	72 0 0	357 9 1	65 0 0 in Pronotes.
Kundu Rameswara ...	1 3 6	.. .	1 3 6	
Attigundhi Malleswara	70 6 10		70 6 10	
Kottapur Rameswaradevaru	4 11 6		4 11 6	
Ugundhalli Anjaneya	0 13 10	...	0 13 10	
Aikere Malleswara . ..	1 11 8		1 11 8	
Do Sugunamma	1 12 1	...	1 12 1	
Mathasagar Anjaneya ..	1 8 2	... ..	1 8 2	
Do Narasimhaswami	1 8 2	... ..	1 8 2	
Do Gopalakrishnaswami	1 8 2	... ..	1 8 2	
Do Malleswaradevaru	2 3 11	. ..	2 3 11	
Benchalli Amruteswara	14 7 0	..	14 7 0	
Kudiangi Vinabhadra	2 15 0	..	2 15 0	
Karodi Gramadevate	0 5 9	.	0 5 9	
Do Brahmeswara	1 1 3	. .	1 1 3	
Doddakallu Rameswara . . .	6 1 11	..	6 1 11	
Chikkallu Komaralingaswami	5 10 1	... ..	5 10 1	
Anjampadi Malleswaradevaru	0 3 11	.. .	0 3 11	
Kumbaradi Byredevaru	4 5 0	. ..	4 5 0	
Hoskote Anjaneyadevaru	80 0 0	... .	80 0 0	
Halebail Basavanmah	5 2 5	. ...	5 2 5	
Total ...	3,011 8 1	.....	.. ...	
District Total ...	36,196 0 0	3,816 0 0	32,680 0 0	

Balance statement of Muzrai Funds of the Shimoga District.

Institution.	Closing balance on the 30th June 1900.	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net Amount.	Remarks.
SHIMOGA TALUK.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Shimoga chattram	32 5 8	.....	32 5 8	
Kote Anjaneya temple	6 13 9	. .	6 13 9	
Kudli Chintamanidevaru	0 8 0	... ..	0 8 0	
Do Brahmeswara	417 8 2	... ..	417 8 2	400 0 0 in Pro-notes.
Do Rameswara	22 15 3	.....	22 15 3	
Shimoga Durgadevi	1 6 3	.....	1 6 3	
Benkipur Lakshminarasimhaswami	83 4 10	.....	83 4 10	
Shimoga Bhimeswara	9 0 0	... ..	9 0 0	
Kaservalli Subrahmanyaswami	7,431 0 0	1,486 0 0	5,945 0 0	
Hole-honnur Janardhanaswami	19 7 5	... ..	19 7 5	
Pillagiri Venkataramanaswami	1,462 0	192 0 0	1,270 4 0	500 0 0 do
Shimoga Lakshminarayanaswami	178 6 2	34 0 0	144 6 2	
Benkipur Gopalakrishnaswami	12 2 0	.....	12 2 0	
Shimoga Adiranganathaswami	2 0 0	... ..	2 0 0	
Total ...	9,059 1 6	.. ...	.....	
CHANNAGIRI TALUK.				
Devarally Udamardi Ranganathaswami	686 1 2	136 0 0	550 1 2	
Chikodu Anjaneyaswami	366 6 0	42 0 0	324 6 0	150 0 0 do
Urubagal do	147 1 8	... ..	147 1 8	100 0 0 do
Tagatur Virabhadradevaru	92 13 4	.. ..	92 13 4	92 0 1 do
Do Siddesvaradevaru	96 6 3	....	96 6 3	
Sulekere do	150 0 0	... ..	150 0 0	134 3 8 do
Total ..	1,538 12 5	.. ...	.....	
HONNALI TALUK.				
Kurvadagaddi Rameswaradevaru	135 3 7	26 0 0	109 3 7	
Nyamti Pranadevaru	328 13 2	... ..	329 13 2	260 10 0 do
Do Mylaridevaru	10 8 0	.. ...	10 8 0	
Chilur Virabhadradevaru	503 5 8	100 0 0	403 5 8	
Kumblur Anjaneyadevaru	66 8 9	... ...	66 8 9	
Honnali chattram	752 7 3	150 0 0	602 7 3	
Chelur do	174 15 0	34 0 0	140 15 0	
Total ...	1,971 13 5	... ..	. ....	

Mussai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance statement of Muzrai Funds of the Shimoga District—contd.

Institution.	Gross Balance on the 30th June 1900	Deductions at 20 per cent.	Net Amount	Remarks.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
SHIKARPUR TALUK.				
Shikarpur Hutchmaya swami ...	3,965 0 0	564 0 0	3,401 6 0	1,371 6 0 in Pro-notes.
Do chattram	1,267 7 7	252 0 0	1,015 7 7	
Belmur Varabhadradevaru ...	1 2 0	.....	1 2 0	
Swami Tavikaradevaru ...	0 0 1	.....	0 0 1	
Do Lakshminarayanaswami ...	0 0 2	.....	0 0 2	
Shikarpur Anjaneyaswami	0 0 6	.....	0 0 6	
Bilagavi Tripurantakeswami	384 15 0	.....	384 15 0	300 0 0 do
Tirupati temple	3 5 3	.....	3 5 3	
Total .	5,622 4 7	.....	.....	
NAGAR TALUK.				
Nagar Nilakantadevaru	1,073 8 4	512 0 0	3,551 8 4	1,504 14 8 do
Guddu Venkataramanaswami	340 13 5	58 0 0	288 13 5	49 10 1 do
Melanachi Veerabhadra	7 1 8	.....	7 1 8	
Sampekatte Sambulingeswaraswami	509 9 2	.....	509 9 2	465 13 9 do
Nagar Basavannah	57 15 4	.....	57 15 4	35 15 6 do
Karnagere Vinayaka	509 15 8	.....	509 15 8	414 6 1 do
Pathepete Umamaheswaraswami	29 13 1	.....	29 13 1	
Panchamukhi Anjaneyaswami	118 15 2	22 0 0	96 15 2	
Bagenhalu Hariharesvara temple	75 0 0	.....	75 0 0	
Hulikal chattram	0 5 6	.....	0 5 6	
Jenakallammah	7 6 0	.....	7 6 0	
Nagar Khazi	1 4 5	.....	1 4 5	
Devavrinda Rameswaraswami	12 10 8	.....	12 10 8	
Nagar Gopalakrishnadevar	0 15 8	.....	0 15 8	
Do Channigarayaswami	1 7 3	.....	1 7 3	
Do Kasivisveswaraswami	0 9 7	.....	0 9 7	
Sartholle Rameswaraswami	0 5 10	.....	0 5 10	
Raghavendra Matt	3 1 6	.....	3 1 6	
Tuljabhavani temple	1 0 5	.....	1 0 5	
Dhubadakatte Anjaneyaswami	1 5 3	.....	1 5 3	
Rachoti Veerabhadraswami	1 13 1	.....	1 13 1	
Lakshminarayanaswami	0 11 8	.....	0 11 8	
Venkataramanaswami	1 1 0	.....	1 1 0	
Tangasala Vinayaka	0 1 4	.....	0 1 4	
Shikareswara	0 11 4	.....	0 11 4	
Subramanyaswami	1 0 5	.....	1 0 5	
Belakuppe Anjaneyaswami	1 10 1	.....	1 10 1	
Bandarkar Matt	145 7 6	.....	145 7 6	
Varshasana	1 13 1	.....	1 13 1	
Total ...	5,913 11 5	.....	.....	

Balance statement of Muzrai Funds, etc.—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on the 30th June 1900	Deduction at 20 per cent	Net amount	Remarks.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs a. p.	R a. p	Rs. a. p.
SORAB TALUK				
Anavathi Kaitabheswaraswami	760 3 10	60 0 0	700 3 10	458 14 0 in Pro-notes.
Hurli Anjaneyaswami	563 14 0	112 0 0	451 14 0	
Chowti do	50 3 3	.. .	50 3 3	
Kathoty do	36 0 0	..	36 0 0	
Do Rameswaraswami	26 13 0	.. ..	26 13 0	
Chandragutti Renukammah ...	8,594 14 6	976 0 0	7,618 14 6	3,709 15 9 do
Sorab Ranganathaswami	351 11 3	.	351 11 3	346 3 0 do
Total ..	10,356 14 10	.. ...	.. .	
SAGAR TALUK.				
Anantapur chattram	473 8 6	90 0 0	383 8 6	
Do Ranganathaswami	611 2 8	122 0 0	489 2 8	
Ikkeri Agoreswara	489 10 11	.. ..	489 10 11	418 8 10 do
Sagar Ganapati	902 14 3	168 0 0	734 14 3	61 4 6 do
Karodi Vinayaka	251 0 5	50 0 0	201 0 5	
Keladi Rameswara	16,059 3 8	2,008 0 0	14,051 3 8	6,015 9 4 do
Sagar chattram	4 13 0	. ..	4 13 0	
Total	18,772 15 5	..	. ..	
TIRTHAHALLI TALUK.				
Tirthahalli chattram	579 13 2	114 0 0	465 13 2	
Malur do	20 4 9	.. ...	20 4 9	
Mandagadde Anjaneyaswami	0 1 1	.....	0 1 1	
Mahiski Asvathanarayanaswami	1,376 9 1	74 0 0	1,302 9 1	1,000 0 0 do
Tirthahalli Rameswaraswami	6,231 10 11	790 0 0	5,441 10 11	2,276 12 0 do
Arag Kallanathaswami	1,557 2 1	... ..	1,557 2 1	1,523 6 0 do
Murugavadhe Mallikarjunaswami	548 4 5	88 0 0	460 4 5	100 0 0 do
Hemagiri Venkataramanaswami	18 8 8	.....	18 8 8	
Koneripura do	134 14 6	... ..	134 14 6	110 6 9 do
Tirthahalli Anantapadmanabhaswami	1 5 10	.. ..	1 5 10	
Udipi Krishnadevaru	2,270 2 11	454 0 0	1,816 2 11	
Do Puttigi Matt	33 10 2	... ..	33 10 2	
Bhimankatte Bhimeswaradevaru	5 13 4	.....	5 13 4	
Total ..	12,778 4 11	.. ..	.....	
District Total ...	66,613 4 6	8,714 0 0	57,899 0 0	

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds of the Kadur District.

Institutions	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deduction at 20 per cent	Net amount	Remarks
	Rs a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs. a p.	Rs a. p
CHIKMAGALUR TALUK				
Hiremagalu Kodandramadevaru ..	6 028 13 4	.	6,028 13 1	5,986 6 3 in Pro. note
Halidavisvanathaswami	10 9 0	.	10 9 0	6 12 7 do
Malleswara Virabhadradevaru	70 10 11		70 10 11	11 9 9 do
Kudya Markandeswara .. .	162 4 1	... .	162 4 1	119 11 3 do
Do Mrityoma	31 7 2		84 7 2	0 2 1 do
Basavanakote Vinayaka	145 13 1		145 13 1	130 12 7 do
Do Basavanna	14 2 4		14 2 4	
Amble Venugopalaswami	32 1 9		32 1 9	
Do Malleswara	12 1 0	.	12 1 0	
Malluramma	8 9 6	..	8 9 6	
Basavanahalli Ranganathaswami	110 7 0	22 0 0	88 7 0	
Murlekalamma	4 6 0	.	4 6 0	
Uppinahalli Rameswaraswami	145 14 4	28 0 0	117 14 4	
Kuduvalli do ...	19 5 10	..	19 5 10	
Thalchalli Deviramma	230 0 9	46 0 0	184 0 9	
Venkataramanaswami	10 0 0		10 0 0	
Vastari Anjaneyadevaru	117 1 2	22 0 0	95 1 2	
Hirekolalu Mallikarjunaswami	4 5 9	. .	4 5 9	
Do Someswaraswami	0 9 8	.. .	0 9 8	
Lakmikantalli Anjaneyaswami	26 0 3	.	26 0 3	
Alur Kalleswaradevaru ..	102 0 0	20 0 0	82 0 0	
Do Kaji	12 14 5	.....	12 14 5	
Chikmagalur Kaji	3 10 0	..	3 10 0	
Bilikal Ranganathaswami	38 0 0	. ...	38 0 0	
Vastari Padmavathamma	0 6 0	... ..	0 6 0	
Kanvi Virabhadradevaru	200 0 0	40 0 0	160 0 0	
Dantramakki Rameswaradevaru	33 12 0	.....	33 12 0	
Mirle Chanrakesavadevaru	168 1 1	32 0 0	136 1 1	
Tigur Anjaneyswami	1 10 0	... ..	1 10 0	
Isvarahalli L. Narayanaswami	85 0 6	.. .	85 0 6	
Kurubaralli Anjaneyaswami	51 0 0	.....	51 0 0	
Kandya Narasimhaswami	85 10 8	.....	85 10 8	
Karunangi Ranganathaswami	55 13 0	..	55 13 0	
Uppinahalli Someswaraswami	16 11 0	. .	16 11 0	
Mavinakere Kalleswaraswami	62 4 2		62 4 2	
Iswarahalli Anjaneyadevaru	6 6 0	.....	6 6 0	
Nagadbatamanya allowance	19 10 0	...	19 10 0	
Total ..	8,112 7 9	. . .	.....	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds—continued.

Institutions	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deduction at 20 per cent	Net amount	Remarks
MUDGERE TALUK.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Kalasa Kalaseswaradevaru	1,01,695 9 9	3,000 0 0	98,695 9 9	1,01,094 6 9 in Pro. notes.
Kadadakalghatti Kalabairavadevaru	371 15 7	64 0 0	307 15 7	45 10 8 do
Gonibid Subrayaswami	463 9 2	92 0 0	371 9 2	
Palguni Padmavatamma	732 13 2	146 0 0	586 13 2	
Mudusosi Someswaraswami	3 0 0	.....	3 0 0	
Do Malleswara	18 2 0	.....	18 2 0	
Hemavali Ganapati	301 5 5	60 0 0	241 5 5	
Karabail Someswaraswami	71 12 0	.....	71 12 0	
Gabli Tirumaladevaru	59 9 0	.....	59 9 0	
Devavrunda Rameswara	564 4 8	112 0 0	452 4 8	
Banakal Kalleswara	34 4 6	.....	34 4 6	
Bydalli Chowdi	1 7 0	.....	1 7 0	
Halavalli Subrayaswami	0 2 0	.....	0 2 0	
Konehalli Rameswaraswami	19 3 9	.....	19 3 9	
Halekoti Siddeswaraswami	21 12 1	.....	21 12 1	
Lakavalli Rameswaradevaru	19 5 0	.....	19 5 0	
Bidaralli Lingeswaradevaru	21 4 8	.....	21 4 8	
Abchur Lingeswaradevaru	41 8 0	.....	41 8 0	
Nidimamadi Channigarayaswami	12 7 9	.....	12 7 9	
Kesuvalalu Malleswara	5 4 6	.....	5 4 6	
Karebail Malleswara	1 6 0	.....	1 6 0	
Chintamani Chowdi	3 9 6	.....	3 9 6	
Chintamani Deviramma	3 9 6	.....	3 9 6	
Dhardavalli Lingeswara	5 12 0	.....	5 12 0	
Thadahal Lingeswara	5 1 8	.....	5 1 8	
Hanumanalli Malleswara	10 10 5	.....	10 10 5	
Urubagi Bhyredevaru	94 8 7	.....	94 8 7	
Hosahalli Komaraswami	34 4 2	.....	34 4 2	
Halekere Tripurantaka	24 1 0	.....	24 1 0	
Bettadamane Basavanna	70 4 2	.....	70 4 2	
Kaggihalli Channigarayaswami	29 13 10	.....	29 13 10	
Kargunda Rameswara	27 14 6	.....	27 14 6	
Uggihalli Anjaneyadevaru	27 10 6	.....	27 10 6	
Uggihalli Basti temple	30 8 10	.....	30 8 10	
Angadi Vasantamma	2 14 6	.....	2 14 6	
Palguni Kalabairavadevaru	7 2 10	.....	7 2 10	
Uggihalli Mallikarjunaswami	73 3 7	.....	73 3 7	
Total ...	1,04,911 3 7	.....	.....	

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds—continued.

Institutions	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deduction at 20 per cent	Net amount	Remarks
TARIKERE TALUK.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Tarikere Chikkeri Rameswaradevaru	42 9 0	... ..	42 9 0	25 12 1 in Pro. notes.
Attuganal Basavanna	2 3 3	... ..	2 3 3	
Kallahatti Virabhadradevaru	220 2 7	26 0 0	194 2 7	
Somapur Someswara	1,574 9 6	252 0 0	1,122 9 6	
Singapur Yoganarasimhaswami	81 4 4	.....	81 4 4	88 5 10 do
Hadikere Basavanna	116 10 0	22 0 0	94 10 0	105 9 3 do
Marlenahatti Virabhadradevaru	0 8 0	.....	0 8 0	29 7 4 do
Subramanyaswami	0 1 2	.....	0 1 2	
Kudnur Hanumantadevaru	264 15 10	40 0 0	164 15 10	
Lakvalli Kadle Ranganathaswami	54 1 0	.....	54 1 0	
Rajenahalliamma	100 0 0	20 0 0	80 0 0	
Tarikere chattram	465 4 9	92 0 0	373 4 9	
Lakvalli Kaji	6 0 8	.....	6 0 8	
Ajjampur Kaji	40 7 8	... ..	40 7 8	
Rampur Anjaneya	4 5 0	.....	4 5 0	
Tarikere-kote Channakesavadevaru	42 4 6	......	42 4 6	
Bagenahal Narasimhaswami	1 7 6	.....	1 7 6	
Total ..	2,756 14 9	.....	.....	
KADUR TALUK.				
Sakrepatna Ranganathaswami	3,050 15 10	.....	3,050 15 10	3,036 12 4 do
Kadur Kesavadevaru	81 4 2	....	81 4 2	45 5 4 do
Puruda Mallikarjunaswami	292 2 4	.....	292 2 4	291 5 9 do
Hosahalli Ranganathaswami	172 7 11	.....	172 7 11	120 6 8 do
Kadur chattram	16 2 2	.....	16 2 2	
Sakrepatna Kaji	1 13 8	.....	1 13 8	
Yelambalasi Kesavadevaru	24 3 0	.....	24 3 0	7 0 8 do
Devanur Lakshmikantaswami	1 14 6	.....	1 14 6	
Keresante L. Narasimhaswami	8 10 10	.....	8 10 10	
Yegati Anjaneyaswami	145 11 5	.....	145 11 5	143 6 8 do
Nidagatta Anjaneyaswami	89 1 6	.....	89 1 6	56 13 8 do
Begur Prasanna Rameswaraswami	33 0 0	.....	33 0 0	
Kereyallamma	10 0 0	.....	10 0 0	
Belagunda L. Narayanaswami	36 1 9	.....	36 1 9	
Birur Anjaneyaswami	0 8 0	.....	0 8 0	
Kadur Anjaneyaswami	5 0 0	.....	5 0 0	
Total ...	3,969 1 1	.....	.....	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds - continued.

Institutions	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deduction at 20 per cent	Net amount	Remarks
KOPPA TALUK	Rs a. p.	Rs. a p.	Rs a. p	Rs a. p.
Hebbi Bhavani Sankaradevaru	134 12 10	24 0 0	110 12 10	13 8 6 in Pro. notes.
Kumbrikasi Bhrami	32 1 0		32 1 0	
Adamballi do	11 6 0		11 6 0	
Duggali Jettiga	70 11 0		70 11 0	
Hipperke Anjanevaswami	49 11 0		49 11 0	
Arlakoppi Bhrama	16 1 0		16 1 0	
Thalavari Chowdi	21 6 0		21 6 0	
Muthagod Bhrami	22 8 0		22 8 0	
Halemikka do	27 6 0		27 6 0	
Mogundi Mallikarjunaswami	21 5 0		21 5 0	
Hosakodgi Chowdi	38 1 0		38 4 0	
Nyamati Parameswari	21 9 0		21 9 0	
Kumbarigod Basavanni	19 14 0		19 14 0	
Bettakodgi Bhuta	47 11 0		47 11 0	
Andagal L Narasimhaswami	33 15 0		33 15 0	
Hithilkodgi Bhuta	56 4 0		56 4 0	
Bomankodu do	16 14 0		16 14 0	
Mahantamatti Basavanni	10 2 0		10 2 0	
Kolguddi Bhuta	4 15 0		4 15 0	
Hiregaddi Guthidevaru	8 13 0		8 13 0	
Jigalnalli Bhuta	7 8 0		7 8 0	
Hoskoppi Kalamma	56 12 0		56 12 0	
Hanasakoppi Bhuta	26 4 0		26 4 0	
Salavani Chowdi	16 2 0		16 2 0	
Devagodu do	11 4 0		11 4 0	
Kyasanahalli Subramanyaswami	65 11 0		65 11 0	
Heggadu Mallikarjunaswami	43 2 0		43 2 0	
Mailengaddekere Bhuta	19 0 0		19 0 0	
Karimamane Aswathanarayanaswami	12 1 0		12 1 0	
Narsipur Rameswaraswami	9 5 0		9 5 0	
Kiggi Rishyasringesvaradevaru	2,165 8 1	432 0 0	1,733 8 1	
Hampapur L Narayanaswami	1 10 0		1 10 0	
Harihapur Matt	2,034 1 10		2,034 1 10	
Padavani Brahma	11 4 0		11 4 0	
Bairkodgi Brahma	30 0 0		30 0 0	
Kondaji Aswathanarayanaswami	27 6 0		27 6 0	
Baggunjipeta Virabhadradevaru	13 12 0		13 12 0	
Siranad Brahma	26 4 0		26 4 0	
Madada Jettiga	59 11 0		59 11 0	
Kothadi Brahma	31 14 0		31 14 0	
Kotebagal Vinayaka	6 6 0		6 6 0	

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds—continued.

Institutions	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deduction 20 per cent	Net amount	Remarks
KOPPA TALUK—continued.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Kuntarvalli Sadanathadevaru	38 0 0	.. ...	38 0 0	
Mugur Mallikarjunaswami	41 11 0	... ..	41 11 0	
Dodasalmane Jettiga	51 3 0	... ..	51 3 0	
Guddathalavani Chowdi	6 12 0	.....	6 12 0	
Narsalmani Bhuta	5 10 0	.....	5 10 0	
Bilmadi Mallikarjunaswami	13 11 0	... ..	13 11 0	
Kumbargal Gangadhareswaraswami	28 0 0	... ..	28 0 0	
Balehalli Aswathanarayanaswami	6 3 0	... .	6 3 0	
Kabbala Tirumaladevaru	6 10 0	...	6 10 0	
Nandigavi do	3 13 0	.....	3 13 0	
Thadasa do	1 4 0	.....	1 4 0	
Do Chowdi	3 2 0	.....	3 2 0	
Yedahalli Batamanya	27 0 0	.....	27 0 0	
Malvalli Gangadhareswaraswami	29 6 0	. ....	29 6 0	
Magur Venkatramanaswami	2 13 0	.....	2 13 0	
Kalkere Bhuta	10 9 0	.. ..	10 9 0	
Thadamagaddi Durgamma	13 1 0	.. ..	13 1 0	
Yedahalli Umamaheswara	17 6 0	.....	17 6 0	
Kargodlu Mallikarjunaswami	2 2 0	.....	2 2 0	
Samadhi Basavanna	3 6 0	.....	3 6 0	
Alehalli Ranganathaswami	7 8 0	.....	7 8 0	
Kanaberu Mallikarjunaswami	7 0 0	... ..	7 0 0	
Hasalvani do	6 4 0	.....	6 4 0	
Malvalli Gopalakrishnaswami	9 8 0	.....	9 8 0	
Malvalli Anjaneya	131 11 0	26 0 0	105 11 0	
Anegondi Gangadhareswaraswami	2 4 0	......	2 4 0	
Vittalada Durgamma	6 0 0	......	6 0 0	
Koratur Basavanna	18 14 0	.....	18 14 0	
Muthgi Chowdeswari	26 7 0	.....	26 7 0	
Do Hosakolalu Bramha	2 7 0	.....	2 7 0	
Habbala Mallikarjunaswami	1 9 0	... ..	1 9 0	
Hiregadi Thenki Bhuta	5 12 0	.....	5 12 0	
Malvalli Vinayaka	2 13 0	.....	2 13 0	
Sankarpura Brahma	3 12 0	.....	3 12 0	
Bhutankodgi Bhuta	3 12 0	.....	3 12 0	
Bettavalli Bhuta	3 13 0	... ..	3 13 0	
Bhimeswaradevaru	11 15 0	.....	11 15 0	
Bhuvanakoti Anjaneyaswami	34 0 0	.....	34 0 0	
Muthinkoppa Mallikarjunaswami	2 12 0	... ..	2 12 0	
Jembanadu do	1 12 0	.....	1 12 0	
Bidabail Ramanathadevaru	3 4 0	.....	3 4 0	

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds—concluded.

Institutions	Closing balance on the 30th June 1900	Deduction at 20 per cent	Net amount	Remarks
KOI'PA TALUK—concluded.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Suthur Gopalakrishnadevaru	12 2 0	.....	12 2 0	
Suther Guthidevaru	2 6 0	.....	2 6 0	
Lakshmi Venkatesadevaru	6 8 0	.....	6 8 0	
Rameswaradevaru	1 5 0	.....	1 5 0	
Nagar Ramachar's allowance	3 9 9	.....	3 9 9	
Kurogod Vadermata Basavanna	1 8 0	.....	1 8 0	
Halladamanavasi Virabhadradevaru	3 12 0	.....	3 12 0	
Kamali Gopalakrishnadevaru	3 10 0	.....	3 10 0	
Nandagavi Tirumaladevaru	1 13 0	.....	1 13 0	
Swami Rao's allowance	11 4 0	.....	11 4 0	
Bhimankatte Ramadevaru	5 5 0	.....	5 5 0	
Uttameswara Durgamma	11 5 0	.....	11 5 0	
Balehonnur Matt	16 15 6	.....	16 15 6	
Bendagaddu Matt	0 1 0	.....	0 1 0	
Total ...	6,026 10 0	.....	.....	
District Total ...	1,25,776 5 2	4,618 0 0	1,21,158 5 2	

Muzrai, dated 19th March 1904.

Balance Statement of Muzrai Funds, etc.—contd.

Institutions		Closing balance on 30th June 1903	Deduction at 20 per cent	Net amount	Remarks
		Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p	Rs a p
CHITALDRUG TALUK					
Chitaldrug Kesavadevaru		38 11 2		38 11 2	20 0 0 in Pro notes
Do Venkataramanaswami		831 11 1		831 11 1	831 11 1 do
Turuvanur Anjaneyaswami		84 3 0		84 3 0	44 0 0 do
Chitaldrug chattram		119 1 1	22 0 0	97 1 1	
Dharmasagar do		89 8 1		89 8 1	
Siddanalli Anjaneyaswami		277 5 8	54 0 0	223 5 8	
Garebagal Kalamma		18 2 0		18 2 0	
Guntnur Anjaneyaswami		21 13 3		21 13 3	
Chitaldrug Khazi		17 12 4		17 12 4	
Maldrug Gopalaswami		83 15 10		83 15 10	
Sankaranarayanaswami		2 1 9		2 1 9	
Kannikaparameswari		0 0 4		0 0 4	
Kudligi Anjaneyaswami		0 15 8		0 15 8	
Lachmana Rao Anjaneya		0 0 1		0 0 1	
Hallur do		0 14 5		0 14 5	
Panchalingadevaru		0 12 2		0 12 2	
Bhimasandra Bhimeswara		0 12 1		0 12 1	
Vyasarayar Gopalaswami		1 7 3		1 7 3	
Sampige Siddeswara		12 2 0		12 2 0	
Himavat Ketar Anjaneya		34 5 4		34 5 4	
	Total	1,630 10 7		..	
CHALLAKERE TALUK					
Sankere chattram		93 6 6		93 6 6	
Talak do		217 10 7	42 0 0	175 10 7	
Challakere do		569 1 0	112 0 0	457 1 0	
Do Lakshmanarayanaswami temple		283 0 8	56 0 0	227 0 8	
	Total	1,163 2 9	.....		
HIRIYUR TALUK					
Hiriyur chattram		892 15 10	178 0 0	714 15 10	
	Total	892 15 10		..	
MOLAKALMURU TALUK					
Hangal chattram		920 12 7	184 0 0	736 12 7	
Bommagondanakere chattram		268 1 10	52 0 0	216 1 10	
Bommigatta Anjaneya temple		16 10 6		16 10 6	
	Total	1,205 8 11			

Balance statement of Muzrai Funds, etc.—contd.

Institutions.	Closing balance on 30th June 1900	Deduction at 20 per cent	Net amount	Remarks
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
DAVANGERE TALUK				
Komaranahalli Ranganathaswami	1,0.8 10 3	1 2 0 0	906 10 3	17 11 1 in Promotes do
Harihar Harihareswaraswami	167 11 11		167 11 11	167 11 11 do
Harihar chattram	432 15 5	86 0 0	346 15 5	
Komaranahalli chattram	1,111 11 5	222 0 0	892 11 5	
Kaddlabala Anjaneyaswami	31 8 5	.	31 8 5	
Mayakonda Kesavadevaru	24 3 0		24 3 0	
Harihar Jagannathaswami	0 0 5		0 0 5	
Total	2,799 15 10	...		
JAGALUR TALUK				
Sokku Pranadevaru	27 7 8	.	27 7 8	
Bilchod Anjaneyaswami	66 6 0		66 6 0	
Total	93 13 8	..		
HOLALKERE TALUK				
Holalkere Gopalaswami	95 1 0	. .	95 1 0	87 0 0 do
Holakere Devarapur Lakshminarasimhaswami ..	809 9 2	60 0 0	749 9 2	505 13 2 do
Bagur Kesavadevaru	1,851 13 10	114 0 0	1737 13 10	1,273 0 0 do
Kellod Pranadevaru	293 6 2	24 0 0	269 6 2	164 0 0 do
Lokadolalu Ranganathaswami	80 5 9	... ..	80 5 9	42 12 9 do
Kundur Pranadevaru	114 14 9	... ..	114 14 9	78 7 11 do
Doddakatta do	136 11 2	.....	136 11 2	70 5 2 do
Andanur do	10 0 0	. . ..	10 0 0	
Do Siddeswara	9 1 3	..	9 1 3	9 0 0 do
Halrameswaradevaru	113 3 1	..	113 3 1	71 4 1 do
Bevinahalli Kariamma	214 11 2	... ..	214 11 2	120 0 0 do
Ramgiri Siddeswaraswami	34 2 5	....	34 2 5	19 12 10 do
Ganjagatti Narasimhaswami	86 1 0	... ..	86 1 0	
Holalkere chattram	182 5 4	36 0 0	146 5 4	
Varushasana allowances	88 7 7	.. .	88 7 7	
Total ...	4,119 13 8	.. ..	.....	
District Total ..	11,906 1 3	1,364 0 0	10,542 1 3	

1003-04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 19th March 1904

No. 2892-901
Muz. F. 5-96.

Passing orders regarding the detailed Audit of Muzrai Accounts and sanctioning an establishment therefor.

SBP—18-5-04—500

Muz F 161—06-1

M U Z R A I

To,

No 4050 dt 21.2.07 The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

S I R,

I am directed to invite your attention to the Pro. of Government Muzrai No. 2892—901, Dated 19th March 1904, in Muzrf 5-96, which it was directed that the verification of the Accounts of Muzrai Institutions should be continued from 1st July 1900 up to date. The Comptroller who has been engaged on the work has completed the verification up to the end of June 1906 in regard to all Districts except the Mysore District, and it has been ascertained that the work of the last named District has had to be stopped owing to the non-receipt for the past two years of the prescribed credit statements from the Mysore District Treasury which used formerly to be sent regularly. This omission has caused much inconvenience and has prevented the

Comptroller from completing the important work referred to above. It has however been ascertained that the accounts in question have been very recently sent to the Comptroller's Office up to August 1905, and that those of the following months have been promised to be furnished at an early date.

2. I am now to request that the work may be expedited and that you will kindly see that all the needful statements are immediately prepared and sent to the Comptroller.

3. No doubt, your predecessor Mr. Sparkes in his letter No. 494/3 dated 22nd March 1905, asked for the entertainment of 2 extra hands in the Treasury, but that recommendation related to the keeping of extra accounts and registers prescribed by the Comptroller and not in connection with the furnishing of credit statements as heretofore. The stoppage of these statements is therefore not justifiable and is much to be regretted. I am therefore to request the favour of issuing stringent orders for the

preparation and submission of the remaining credit statements to the Comptroller at a very early date.

The question of additional hands for the Treasury involves the consideration whether such hands may not be required in other Districts also and whether the Mukrai Department of Government or the Financial Department has to bear the cost thereof. I am therefore to request the favour of your personally looking into the question as to the necessity for the additional hands ~~for~~ and making a further reference on the subject if necessary. Meanwhile I am to request that the current work in the Treasury relating to Mukrai matters may not be stopped.

I have &c,

Draft) 25/2/07

No. 4057 Dy 21.2.07

Secretary

Copy is forwarded to the Comptroller, for information.

By Order

Secret

Jud F 161-06-24
1907-8

From
M. Ramalingaraju Esqr B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Nose District

To
Aravamudu Tasaduppi Rao Esqr B
ccy: to Government
Judicial Dept.

Dated 24th October

Despatched 26

Received

General No. 100
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Recommends increase of pay to the Munsif Head clerk and the entertainment of additional Clerks for Munsif work

SA

Encl para 2 of letter from
26.10.07

120/100
Ex-2

To

Dated 24/26 August 7

Sir,

Adverting to para 4 of your letter No: 4050 dated 21st February 1907 calling upon me to personally look into the question as to the necessity for the additional hands for Sunrai work in the Treasury and make a further reference on the subject if necessary, I have the honor to submit herewith for the information of Government copy of docket No: 108 dated 2/5 8. 1907 received from the Treasury officer, Sunpore District, and to state that as observed by him the transactions both in the District and Taluk Treasuries being very heavy and varied in its nature as detailed in para 8 of his letter, there is no use of saddling the present staff with the additional work connected with the Sunrai Department and experience has shown that unless the Treasury staff is strengthened, one or other of the work will necessarily suffer. In this connection my predecessor had

I had recommended the entertain-
-ment of two additional hands and
in support of this the recommenda-
-tion was criticised too high, but-
for the present, I would modify
the proposal and recommend
the employment of at least
one competent and qualified
hand on Rs 25 per mensem as
a trial. If after utilizing the ser-
vices of this clerk for a period of one
or two years, the work should still
be found to be too heavy for one
man to cope with, I shall submit
further proposals in the light of
the experience gained. For the
present one hand is absolutely
necessary if the additional
work now prescribed by Govern-
-ment has to be discharged
thoroughly and systematically
within the allotted time.

2 I was long contemplating to ad-
-dress the Government on the in-
-adequate clerical staff attached
to my office but I was putting
it off with a view to study the
quality and quantity of work
and

and how best to get through it without approaching Government for additional establishment. But I am sorry to say that the work in all directions and in all departments has expanded so considerably that each Department is struggling hard to get through its legitimate work and as such no extraneous help can possibly be given to the clerks of the Irrigation Department to relieve them of the excessive strain. Now an opportunity having offered itself I wish to mool the subject. There are now 3 clerks on Rs 30/- 25/, and 15/- respectively and a peon on Rs 6/- per mensem in the Irrigation Branch of my office. Formerly the Irrigation work in this District was not half so heavy as it is at present and when a separate officer on a special allowance of Rs 50/- per mensem was deputed to supervise the work of this Department, the pay of the Irrigation Head clerk was Rs 35/- which was subsequently reduced

to

to Rs 30/- (Vide Govt. order No. 1861-3 dated 28.10.01).

3 Though the Deputy Commissioner is recognized by Government as head of the Inam department for the District his powers are limited so much so that even in small matters of a routine nature he has to refer and obtain the orders of Government, after submitting a report setting forth the facts of the case. In Revenue, Magisterial, Police, Municipal, Local Fund, Registration, Excise and other departments he has been invested with much larger powers consistently with his position as Head of the District Administration, but in Inam matters he is not permitted to exercise any such powers. He cannot appoint a Inam Servant however small his emoluments may be nor can he sanction an estimate costing more than Rs 50/- while he has been empowered by Government to sanction estimates

for

for works in the Local Fund and Municipal Departments to the extent of Rs 500/-. The reason for this anamoly is not quite apparent. In fine he is considered simply an officer to give advice to Government in all Mugrai matters and to inspect and report on the several Institutions. The result of all these limitations is the enormous increase of clerical work in the District office. Very ordin-ary matters which could be dis-posed of in a few minutes are according to the present arrangement made the sub-jects of correspondence between District office and the Secreta-riat, which means the prepa-ration of a precis in English of the vernacular file of papers, the drafting of a reply, the fair-copying and registering of these papers. Almost every petition re-ceived at the Secretariat is for-warded to this office for report. you are personally aware that a very large number of petitions

is

is being forwarded daily to this office and some report is expected on each.

I beg to enclose herein a statement shewing the number of references received and disposed of in this department from 1902-03 to 1906-7 for favour of reference. It may be observed therefrom that both English and Kanarese correspondences have been gradually on the increase year by year. During the current official year hardly two months have elapsed and the number of English references received has already gone up to 227 rather a high number.

5 of the 3 clerks in this department the one — Rs 15/- has been maintaining the Kanarese Form and To Registers, marks references therein and any additional work that may be entrusted to him cannot be expected to be done by him without detriment to his legitimate work while the other clerk on Rs 25/- has been attending

attending to the compilation of accounts and other miscellaneous Kannada work which keep him engaged the whole day. The only clerk therefore available to attend to the English and Kannada correspondence is the Head clerk who has also been maintaining the English "From" and "To" Registers besides attending to the fair copying, despatching and other miscellaneous works which take up the major portion of his time leaving very little time at his disposal to attend to more useful and important work such as preparing precis, drafting letters and conducting correspondence both in English and Kannarese.

6 During the course of our long conversation in April last after your return from Mulkote, I brought to your notice the heavy work that has devolved upon the present Head clerk and

and the desirability of affording him some relief and encouragement. In the Registration Department of my office where the work is comparatively very light, Government have been pleased to fix the pay of the Head clerk and 3rd clerk at Rs 40/- and Rs 30/- respectively. In the Local Fund Department there are hands on salaries as noted in the margin and the work in the Sanad Branch cannot by any means be said to be less important than that of the Local Fund Department. On the analogy of these Departments and in consideration of the peculiarly heavy Sanad work of this District, I once more beg to bring to your kind notice the excellent work that is being done by the present Head clerk and recommend that his pay be increased to Rs 35-1-40 and the

Head clerk 40-2-50
3rd clerk 30-1-35
3rd clerk 25-1-30
4th clerk 20-1-25
5th clerk 15-1-20
Sanad clerk 15-0-—
Record keeper 10-0-—

×

the present inadequate Inz-
-rai Establishment strength-
-ened by the entertainment
of two more hands on Rs 20/-
and 15/- respectively in addi-
-tion to the separate clerk for
Inzrai work in the District
Treasury exclusively as re-
-commended by the Treasury
officer. The cost of the proposed
increase of pay to the Head clerk
as well as to the additional Est-
-ablishment may be ordered
to be met out of the funds at
the credit of the Sri Chamarajes
-wara Swami temple.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Bd.

Number of references received in the Munzai Branch of the Deputy Commissioner's office Mupore.

Year	English		Kanarese		
	From	To	From	To	
1902-03	570	339	1955	1691	
1903-04	695	340	2192	2148	
1904-05	861	494	2569	2274	
1905-06	1080	662	2248	1988	
1906-07	1111	518	2398	2296	
1907-08	227 ×	101	393	411	× up to 21.8.07

Deputy Commr
Mupore District

By

1907

From
The Treasury Officer
Hungpore District

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Hungrai Department
Hungpore District

Dated 2nd August 1907

Despatched 5th " — ——

Received

General No. 108
No.

Enclosure No.

His memo no: 361 dated 11.3.07 forwarding copy of letter no 4050 dated 21.2.07 from the Secretary to the Government of Hungpore, Hungrai Department for information and further report.

With reference to para 4 of the Hungrai Secretary's letter referred to, I have the honor to state as follows: —

1 There are about 500 Hungrai Institutions both permanent and temporary in the Hungpore District.

2. The receipts, disbursements and the closing balance

balance of each Institution is very necessary in order to find out whether or not the Institution has at its credit a certain sum of money shewn in a bill presented for a credit certificate.

3. The Comptroller to the Government not being satisfied with the credit certificate of the Taluk Treasury officer insists upon the District Treasury certificate. To do which separate Institution wise accounts will have to be maintained as noted above. In the absence of such registers it is not possible to say what sum of money is available at the credit of an Institution on a particular date. This is laborious work which if regularly attended to will prove of immense use in passing bills and checking Vouchers &c.

4. The monthly receipt statement now submitted to the Comptroller has only simplified the work so far as it relates to the accounts of this office. But when bills are sent to the District Treasury for the credit certificate by the Comptroller such bills are delayed for want of information in this office. It is on this score complaints are made

made from time to time by the Comptroller and the Punjai department against the Treasury Department.

5. In some of the Taluk Treasuries too the Punjai gumastas have not maintained a register of the kind in a business like way. This work cannot be satisfactorily done by the Rayadi gumasta who has already been given a very heavy work in the Taluk Treasury.

6. Some of the Taluks have Punjai gumastas and others have not. In the latter case the Punjai work is done by one of the Revenue Accountants. The register referred to above should be properly maintained by the accountant in charge of the work. All instructions regarding book adjustments made in the Comptroller's office will have to be duly noted in this register to find out the correct balances at the credit of the Institutions.

7. The checking of the Taluk Punjai accounts and the maintenance of these and other necessary registers

registers coupled with the correspondence and supply of the printed forms &c entails a heavy work.

8. It is hard to impose all the extra work on the present Treasury staff which has since been saddled with several additional works that did not exist while sanctioning the present scale. For instance they may be numbered as follows:--

1. State Life Insurance
2. District Fund Bills & Cheques
3. Refund Bill Certificate of the Excise department and of Civil Courts &c
4. Mysore city Improvement Trust Board Pass Books &c
5. Palace D.P.W.
6. Cauvery power scheme
7. Nazir's personal deposit account.
8. Deputy Commissioner's personal deposit account of Encumbered Jahagirs.
9. accounts relating to private ~~estates~~ under the temporary management of Government.
10. accounts pertaining to Mysore Institutions under the temporary management of Government.
11. Adjustment of advances

in

in connection with reception charges &c Exhibitions and the like.

It may thus be seen that the old scale of the Treasury department remains unstrengthened while extra labour is gradually imposed and all that work and responsibility shared by the existing number of accountants.

9. It is therefore desirable to have an extra hand for this important work which ought not to be allowed to remain neglected as at present. with this object in view the entertainment of two new hands were applied for. The nature of the work requires efficiency and good experience. A responsible official on at least Rs 25/- a month must be told off for this duty.

10. In these circumstances the undersigned begs that the Deputy Commissioner may be pleased to obtain the sanction of the Government for the entertainment of at least one

separate

separate accountant for the Mysore work which requires immediate attention.

11. Unlike other districts the transactions in the District and Taluk Treasuries are heavy and varied.

(Sd) T. K. Sundararajaiyar
Treasury officer
Mysore District

"Copy"

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

B.A.

1908-09.

From
M. Kantaraj Urs Esq B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To
R. S. Chandrasekhara Ayyar Esq B.A.
Secy: to Govt
Revenue Branch

Dated 19th Oct 1908

Despatched

Received

General No. 129

No.

Enclosure No. —

Request that early sanction may be accorded to certain proposals for strengthening the Hunsur establishment of the Deputy Commissioner's Office. &c -

1-61-06.

Kilkar

Issue instructions as noted on
S —
22/10/08

No. 129
Enc. No.

OFFICE OF THE Deputy Commr

MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated Mysore Camp 19th October 1908.

To
K. S. Chendrasekhara Aiyar Esq., B.A. B.L.

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.
Anugrai Branch.

Sir,

I have the honor to invite your kind attention to my letter No. 100 dated the 24th/26th August 1907 submitting proposals for strengthening the Anugrai Establishment of my office and of the District Treasury and to request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government thereto at an early date.

2. The necessity for the additional hands and for raising the pay of the Anugrai Head clerk has been explained at some length in the above letter.

3. The recent Government order

order defining the powers and duties of Deputy Commissioners he has thrown additional work on the office staff and increased the responsibility considerably. The present establishment is unable to cope with the increasing work and unless my proposal which was based on a careful study of the actual requirements is sanctioned at an early date, the work will fall into hopeless arrears. While passing orders on my proposals the importance of this District from a Sungrai point of view which is peculiar to this district alone may kindly be taken into consideration. As the work is daily increasing I have ventured to address Government again with a view to early sanction being accorded to my proposal to fa

pr

prompt- dischoosal of refer-
-ences.

I have the honour, &c.,
Sir,
Your most-obedient
Servant

H Madhaviengar
p Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To.

(G.R.—)

161-06
File No. ~~56-08~~
Serial No. ~~24~~

Diary No. of letter replied to

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, *the* 190

Date of issue 29-12-09

Signed by W. A. [illegible]

READ.—

Govt. Pro No 2892-901 Muzf 5-96, dated 16th March 1904, passing orders regarding the detailed audit of Muzrai accounts and sanctioning an Establishment therefor.

~~ORDER THEREON.—~~ No. Correspondence ending with letter No. 129 dated 19th October 1908, from the Dy. Comm'r, Mysore Dt, submitting proposals for strengthening the Muzrai Establishment of the Dy. Comm'r's Office.

Order

over

SUBJECT

MGCPB—20,000—24-2-05

Order thereon :- no 286-8 Dis
M. J. [illegible]

The present clerical establishment in the Mysore Dy Commr's Office doing Muzrai work consists of

1 Head Clerk on Rs 30/-
1 Clerk on Rs 25/-
1 Clerk on Rs 15/-
Total Rs 70/-

The whole of this amount is being paid from the funds of the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami Temple at Chamarajnagar

2. The Dy Commr of the Mysore Dt reports that, consequent on the issue of Govt Order no 2892-907 Muzt 5-96 dated 19th March 1904 read above and of the Govt Order no Ry. 1600-39 & M. 1-08-2 dated 7th Sept 1908 defining the powers of Dy Commrs, the Muzrai work in his Office and in the Dt Treasury has increased and proposes that the pay of the Head Clerk should be raised to Rs 35-1-40, that one hand on Rs 25/- for the Dt Treasury and two on Rs 20 and Rs 15 for his Office should be newly sanctioned.

3. As regards an addl hand

CENTRAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet Letter form.)

for the Dt Treasury, the Comptr., who was consulted in the matter, is of opinion that one on Rs 25/- may be sanctioned temporarily for six months by which time it is expected that work in the Dt Try in other Branches may be reduced and some hands set free for Mysra work.

4. The late Mysra Secretary and the Mysra Supdt recommend the promotion to the Hd Clerk of the Mysore Dt Office and also the entertainment of a new hand on Rs 20/-. Govt are pleased to sanction the above recommendations with effect from 1st August 1909 and to direct that the annual recurring cost of Rs 200/- rising to Rs 360/- and the cost of Rs 150 for six months be met from the funds of the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple. They are also pleased to direct that the funds of this temple which in accordance with Govt Order No. 2892-901 Mysra 5-96 dated 19th March 1904 and subsequent orders bearing a moiety of the cost of the marginally noted establishment

Superintendent's Office
Accountant .. on Rs 45. 25.
Do — 10
Mysra Branch
Accountant — 30.
Do — 25
Aramik — 20
Sriranga Parikshaka — 20
Mysra Overseer — 20
115
Grand Total Rs 185/-

in the Muzrai Branch of the Secretariat and of the Comptroller's Office be relieved of the same and that this charge be entirely debited to the funds of the Sri Kalaseswaraswami temple at Kalasa.

17/7/1907

(Sd)

For Secretary

To

The Dy Commr, Mysore Dt.

" Do — Kadur Dt for info

" Comptr, Mysore Govt.

21/7/07

27/7/07

[illegible]